



From: **RSM-Center, Administrative Editor** <[RSMcenter.secretariat@gmail.com](mailto:RSMcenter.secretariat@gmail.com)>  
Date: Sun, 18 Sep, 2022, 2:45 pm  
Subject: [RES MILITARIS] Editor Decision  
To: Suhirman <[suwarjin@iainbengkulu.ac.id](mailto:suwarjin@iainbengkulu.ac.id)>

Dear Suwarjin:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Res MIITARIS Journal : Current Issues, "THE RELEVANCE OF SUFISM VALUES IN THE MENTAL REVOLUTION MOVEMENT PROGRAM: THE WORK OF FIQH THINKING BY KH. MUHAMMAD SALEH AL-SAMARANI".

Our decision is: **Revisions Required**

### **Editor Comments**

While preparing your manuscript for publication, some requirements are listed below to improve your manuscript. Please pay attention to these requirements, revise your manuscript based on EDITOR AND REVIEWERS'™ comments and send it with a proofreading certificate and similarity report:

**Please highlight the places you changed in the article in yellow.**

- An ENGLISH NATIVE PERSON must edit your paper. After you have corrected your article, you may make it in the center of Proofreading-Editing, which imposes a special rate for the journal author/s. [www.proofreading-editing.eu](http://www.proofreading-editing.eu).
- Your references should be written according to APA 7 Editing (American Psychological Association).

[https://owl.purdue.edu/owl/research\\_and\\_citation/apa\\_style/apa\\_formatting\\_and\\_style\\_guide/apa\\_changes\\_7th\\_edition.html](https://owl.purdue.edu/owl/research_and_citation/apa_style/apa_formatting_and_style_guide/apa_changes_7th_edition.html)

Please exclude the reference(s) which is/are not used in your paper.

Ensure that all the in-text citations are included in the reference list (correspondence between in-text citations and your reference list).

If available, please add the DOI number of the cited references. If there is no DOI number, please provide the link to the URL.

• The manuscript file must be in MS Word format only (not PDF) and should be formatted ready for submission in the journal "Full-Paper-Template-for- After Review-Process", which can be downloaded from the link: <https://un-pub.eu/ojs/index.php/wj-et/about/submissions>.

- Please include all these main titles in your manuscript (Introduction, Method, Results, Discussion, Conclusion, Recommendations).
- Please double check the title (not more than 12 words), author(s)â€™ names and affiliations and authorsâ€™ contact information, and references.

Most of the references you use in references should be up to date. If available, try to quote from articles published in 2018 and later.

Please fill in the complete information of the authors on the journal website. Authorsâ€™, University, faculty, department, city, country, email, and orcid ([www.orcid.org](http://www.orcid.org)) must be filled out completely.

- During the editing process, we use iThenticate or Turnitin plagiarism software. So, it is recommended that the authors scan with iThenticate plagiarism or other free plagiarism software of their manuscripts and send us the similarity report (manuscripts with an above 10% rating will not be published).

Please make the necessary corrections within ten days.

-----  
Reviewer A:  
Recommendation: Revisions Required

-----  
1. The keywords accurately reflects the content.

The keywords accurately reflects the content.

2. The title of the manuscript is appropriate.

The title of the manuscript is appropriate.

3. The abstract accurately reflects the content.

The abstract accurately reflects the content.

4. The research problem is clearly defined.

The research problem is clearly defined.

5. The manuscript contains new findings or ideas.

The manuscript contains new findings or ideas.

6. The manuscript adequately ties to the relevant literature.

The manuscript adequately ties to the relevant literature.

7. Methodology decisions (e.g., coding of data, data analysis, significance levels, grouping of subjects, sampling) adequately explained.

Separate the result to the more clear method details in the method section.

8. The research design is adequate to achieve the study's objectives.

The research design is adequate to achieve the study's objectives.

9. Data collection tools and procedures are clearly described.

Data collection tools and procedures are clearly described.

10. Data analysis decisions are clearly explained.

Data analysis decisions are clearly explained.

11. The discussion /conclusion section(s) adequately discuss (es) the findings.

Separate the conclusions into new sections.

12. The recommendations are accurate and supported by findings and conclusions.

Good.

13. The references are up-to-date, complete, and appropriate (APA 6 Style).

Edit to APA 6 Style.

14. The research is systematic and consistent.

Check the research is systematic and consistent with the Journal again.

15. Appropriate and accurate language is used.

Appropriate and accurate language is used.

**Your Additional Comments**

Check and edit the manuscript from the comments.

-----

-----  
Reviewer B:  
Recommendation: Revisions Required

-----

1. The keywords accurately reflects the content.

yes

2. The title of the manuscript is appropriate.

yes

3. The abstract accurately reflects the content.

re write of the abstract to give a good summary of the paper

4. The research problem is clearly defined.

expand to emphasize the problem leading to clearset of research questions and objectives

5. The manuscript contains new findings or ideas.

yes

6. The manuscript adequately ties to the relevant literature.

needs a more synthesized and structured critique of the literature.

7. Methodology decisions (e.g., coding of data, data analysis, significance levels, grouping of subjects, sampling) adequately explained.

This is one of the most critical parts of the paper that the reviewer found lacking detail. The methods should be adequately described to show how the research was conducted to improve clarity and transparency.

8. The research design is adequate to achieve the study's objectives.

yes, but the methods should be adequately described to show how the research was conducted to improve clarity and transparency

9. Data collection tools and procedures are clearly described.

needs more clarifying

10. Data analysis decisions are clearly explained.

yes, but the presentation of data analysis needs more work

11. The discussion /conclusion section(s) adequately discuss (es) the findings.

- Needs clear and comprehensive explanations to assist readers' understanding

12. The recommendations are accurate and supported by findings and conclusions.

yes

13. The references are up-to-date, complete, and appropriate (APA 6 Style).

some references are outdated and need to be replaced with updated ones.

14. The research is systematic and consistent.

overall, the research needs more focus and information.

15. Appropriate and accurate language is used.

to a certain extent. needs language checking

**Your Additional Comments**

make all the proposed corrections

-----

The following message is being delivered on behalf of RES MILITARIS Journal: Current Issues.

---