**Revision from Proofreader**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **No** | **Page/section** | **Comment from editor** | **My response** |
| 1 | Introduction | I believe the correct root word is Iflah, please confirm and list your souce as well | The word *falah* is derived from the word *aflaha,* I added the reference |
| 2 | Page 5 | Please revisit the choice of word here | I changed the word “expansion” to “enhancement” |
| 3 | Page 7 | I am unable to understand what you are saying here | I have refined the sentence to make it clearer. |
| 4 | Analysis on the concept of *falah* as the backbone of research | the concept of *falah* is explained early on but is barely mentioned or relied upon later in the paper. I acknowledge the need to be succinct, but it should not come at the cost of analysis which is the backbone of research. | I have added more elaboration and critical analysis of this topic in Section 3. This section now provides a deeper exploration of how the concept of *falah* interrelates with zakat. |
| 5 | Structure of the paper section | I would also suggest that you consider changing their paper’s structure, if feasible, as the current structure leaves much to be desired; for example, the part titled “Enhancing Zakat Management: Strategies for Success” seems to provide recommendations, therefore seems out of place at its current placement. | I agree that this section feels out of place in its current position. Therefore, I have placed it toward end of section, as it provides recommendations that align better before the conclusion. |