# Artikel by Andang Sunarto

**Submission date:** 02-Sep-2021 03:54PM (UTC+0800)

**Submission ID:** 1639975760

**File name:** for\_solving\_one-dimensional\_fractional\_mathematical\_physics.pdf (1.48M)

Word count: 6694

**Character count:** 31956

#### RESEARCH Open Access

# Iterative method for solving one-dimensional fractional mathematical physics model via quarter-sweep and PAOR



Andang Sunarto<sup>1</sup>, Praveen Agarwal<sup>2,3</sup>, Jumat Sulaiman<sup>4</sup>, Jackel Vui Lung Chew<sup>5</sup> and Elayaraja Aruchunan<sup>6</sup>

\*Correspondence: andang99@gmail.com \*Department Tadris Matematika, IAIN Bengkulu, Bengkulu City, \*4 ngkulu, 65144, Indonesia Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

#### Abstract

This paper will solve one of the fractional mathematical physics models, a one-dimensional time-fractional differential equation, by utilizing the second-order quarter-sweep finite-difference scheme and the preconditioned accelerated 47 over-relaxation method. The proposed numerical method offers an efficient solution to the time-fractional differential equation by applying the computational complexity reduction approach by the quarter-sweep technique. The finite-difference approximation equation will be formulated based on the Caputo's time-fractional derivative and quarter-sweep central difference in space. The developed approximation equation generates a linear system on a large scale and has sparse coefficients. With the quarter-sweep technique and the preconditioned iterative method, 56 mputing the time-fractional differential equation solutions can be more efficient in terms of the number of iterations and computation time. The guarter-sweep computes a guarter of the total mesh points using the preconditioned iterative method while maintaining the solutions' accuracy. A numerical example will demonstrate the efficiency of the proposed quarter-sweep preconditioned accelerated over-relaxation method against the half-sweep preconditioned accelerated over-relaxation, and the full-sweep preconditioned accelerated over-relaxation methods. The numerical finding showed that the quarter-sweep finite diference scheme and preconditioned accelerated over-relaxation method can serve as an efficient numerical method to solve fractional differential equations.

**Keywords:** Caputo's fractional derivative; Implicit finite-difference scheme; QSPAOR; TEDE

#### 1 Introduction

The growing interest  $\underline{in}$  the theory and applications of fractional calculus has become the motivation for many researchers in recent years. Fractional calculus has attracted attention of experts from all over the world. Various fractional operators have been introduced in the literature such as [3, 9-11, 27, 30, 31], and this encourages more extensive researches to be conducted. Solving fractional differential equations (FDEs) using numerical methods has been seen as an ongoing research trend. The analytical solutions of most FDEs are



The Author(s) 2021. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4. 4 ternational License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not per 20. d by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. In view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

challenging compared to the ordinary (ODEs) and partial differential equations (PDEs) in general. Therefore, numerical solutions are actively being found by proposing new numerical approximation techniques to solve the FDEs. Some notable numerical methods have been developed to solve the fractional partial derivatives problems [1, 2, 14, 19, 20, 29].

Besides that, [12] has presented several interesting MATLAB routines for solving FDEs. The author has provided many solution techniques for solving three identified FDE problems such as the standard FDEs, the multiorder systems of FDEs, and the multiterm FDEs. One of the studies [13] presented several computational cost evaluations for the numerical solutions of FDEs from the point of view of computer science. Based on that work, the computational complexities for the time-fractional, space-fractional, and space-time FDEs are known to be  $O(N^2M)$ ,  $O(NM^2)$ , and O(NM(M+N)). The authors have also compared the three mentioned computational costs against O(MN), which is the cost of finding solutions for the classical partial differential equations using finite-difference methods. Here, M and N denote the number of spatial grid points and time steps, respectively. Moreover, the authors have mentioned that the preconditioner technique is a good alternative to accelerate the computational process in solving FDEs.

In our development of the numerical method to solve FDEs, we are interested in applying the second-order quarter-sweep finite-difference scheme with a preconditioning technique to solve the time-fractional FDE (TFDE). There are several finite difference scheme applications to solve the TFDE [5, 7, 12, 25, 26]. However, the investigation on the efficiency of the numerical method used to solve the TFDE is quite limited. The quartersweep finite-difference scheme has been a good computation complexity reduction approach, especially when a large number of mesh points are considered [4, 22, 28]. The quarter-sweep is able to reduce the computational complexity of computing the solutions of a large linear system by computing a quarter of the total number of mesh points without offsetting the solutions' accuracy. Therefore, this paper investigates the efficiency of the quarter-sweep finite difference scheme with a preconditioning technique called PAOR [26] to solve the TFDE. The PAOR iterative method will be used to compute a quarter of mesh points after quarter-sweep implementation. The remaining mesh points will be estimated by averaging. This efficient numerical method is important to the physicists to aid their investigation on the time-fractional mathematical model, arising from the necessity to sharpen the concepts of equilibrium, stability states, and time evolution in the long time limit [8, 17, 18].

Throughout this paper, we discretized TFDE using the unconditionally stable secondorder quarter-sweep implicit finite-difference (QSIFD) scheme. We used Caputo's fractional partial derivative to form the approximation equation. Usually, the finite-difference approximation equation's implementation leads to a tridiagonal matrix of the linear system due to its characteristics. The discretized finite-difference approximations also form a large and sparse matrix which is the best alternative to be solved using the iterative method. We have observed the successful iterative methods from many researchers. From many discussions and extensions made in several categories of iterative methods, we find that the preconditioned iterative methods have the unique properties to solve a linear system efficiently [15, 16, 23].

This paper's main contribution is to present the efficiency of our proposed numerical method, which can be called the quarter-sweep preconditioned accelerated over-relaxation (QSPAOR) iterative method for solving TFDEs. In this paper, the numerical

method's efficiency is evaluated based on the number of iterations and the computation time. The QSPAOR iterative method's efficiency will be compared against the half-sweep preconditioned accelerated over-relaxation (HSPAOR) and full-sweep preconditioned accelerated over-relaxation (FSPAOR), and the improvement in terms of the reduction of both the number of iterations and computation time will be illustrated. The stability analysis of the quarter-sweep finite difference approximation equation and the AOR iterative method's convergence analysis are also provided.

The general TFDE that we consider as the main problem to be solved can be written as

$$\frac{\partial^{\alpha} U(x,t)}{\partial^{\alpha} t} = p(x) \frac{\partial^{2} U(x,t)}{\partial x^{2}} + q(x) \frac{\partial U(x,t)}{\partial x} + r(x)U(x,t), \tag{1}$$

where p(x), q(x), and r(x) are known functions or coefficients; meanwhile,  $\alpha$  is a parameter that determines the degree of fractional order for the time derivative. For the formulation of the finite-difference approximation with Caputo's derivative, here are the important definitions that we use:

**Definition 1** The Riemann–Liouville fractional integral operator,  $J^{\alpha}$ , of order- $\alpha$  is defined as

$$J^{\alpha}f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{(x-t)^{\alpha}f(t) dt}{(x-t)^{\alpha}f(t) dt}, \quad \alpha > 0, x > 0.$$
 (2)

**Definition 2** The Caputo's fractional partial derivative operator,  $D^{\alpha}$ , of order- $\alpha$  is defined as

$$D^{\alpha}f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)} \int_0^x \frac{f^{(m)}(t)}{(x-t)^{\alpha-m+1}} dt, \quad \alpha > 0,$$
(3)

with  $m-1 < \alpha \le m$ ,  $m \in N$  and x > 0.

#### 2 Research methodology

To solve the fractional differential problem shown in Eq. (1), we assume that the solutions exist and satisfy the Dirichlet boundary conditions. Therefore, using Eq. (2), the time-fractional derivative term in Eq. (1) is discretized using

$$\frac{\partial^{\alpha} u(x,t)}{\partial t^{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-1)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial u(x-s)}{\partial t} (t-s)^{-\alpha} ds, \quad t > 0, 0 < \alpha < 1.$$
 (4)

Using the approximation equation to Eq. (1) employing the finite-difference method and Caputo's fractional derivative, we develop a C++ code for the simulation of the approximate solutions. We have two examples of the TFDE to examine the iterative methods, i.e., the proposed QSPAOR, HSPAOR, and FSPAOR. The proposed numerical method's efficiency is examined using the number of iterations (K) and the computation time measured in seconds. The maximum absolute error (MAE) is also observed for accuracy checking. These criteria are compared by using three different order parameters  $\alpha$ , i.e.,  $\alpha=0.25$ ,  $\alpha=0.50$ , and  $\alpha=0.75$ . The convergence tolerance,  $\varepsilon=10^{-10}$ , is set to terminate the iteration process.

#### 3 Approximation to the time fractional differential equation

The first-order approximation to the Caputo's fractional derivative, which is derived from the discrete approximation to the time-fractional derivative term shown in Eq. (4), can be written as

$$D_t^{\alpha} U_{i,n} \cong \sigma_{\alpha,k} \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_j^{(\alpha)} (U_{i,n-j+1} - U_{i,n-j}), \tag{5}$$

where, from Eq. (5), we define two representations for the sake of simplicity as follows:

$$\sigma_{\alpha,k} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)(1-\alpha)k^{\alpha}},$$

and

$$\omega_{j}^{(\alpha)} = j^{1-\alpha} - (j-1)^{1-\alpha}.$$

Then, we use the common discretization by partitioning the solution domain of Eq. (1) uniformly, subjected by the Dirichlet boundary conditions. The numbers m and n ( $m,n \ni \aleph^+$ ) are defined so that the grid framework in space and time is fixed everywhere and has increments denoted as  $h = \Delta x = \frac{\gamma - 0}{m}$  and  $k = \Delta t = \frac{T}{n}$ , respectively. Based on the developed uniform grid network, the grid points in the space interval  $[0, \gamma]$  are represented by  $x_i = ih$ , for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, m$ , meanwhile the grid points in the time interval [0, T] are labeled as  $t_j = jk$  for  $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$ . Therefore, the values of the function U(x, t) at the grid points are expressed as  $U_{i,j} = U(x_i, t_j)$ .

The implementation of QSIFD discretization scheme for Eq. (5) produced the Caputo's approximation to Eq. (1) at the grid point  $(x_i, t_i) = (ih, jk)$  which can be formulated as

$$\sigma_{\alpha k} \sum_{j=4}^{n-4} \omega_j^{(\alpha)} (U_{i,n-j+1} - U_{i,n-j})$$

$$= \frac{p_i}{16h^2} (U_{i-4,n} - 2U_{i,n} + U_{i+4,n}) + \frac{q_i}{8h} (U_{i+4,n} - U_{i-4,n}) + r_i U_{i,n},$$
(6)

for i = 4, 8, ..., m - 4.

When the approximation in Eq. (6) is applied on the specified time level  $n \ge 2$ , Eq. (6) can be expressed as

$$\sigma_{\alpha,k} \sum_{j=4}^{n} \omega_{j}^{(\alpha)} (U_{i,n-j+1} - U_{i,n-j}) = p'_{i} U_{i-4,n} + q'_{i} U_{i,n} + r'_{i} U_{i+4,n}, \tag{7}$$

and the coefficients are represented by

$$p'_i = \frac{p_i}{16h^2} - \frac{q_i}{8h}, \qquad q'_i = r_i - \frac{p_i}{8h^2}, \qquad r'_i = \frac{p_i}{16h^2} + \frac{q_i}{8h}.$$

In addition to this, for n = 1, we have

$$-p_i'U_{i-4,1} + q_i^*U_{i,1} - r_i'U_{i+4,1} = f_{i,1}, \quad i = 4, 6, \dots, m-4,$$
(8)

where 
$$\omega_j^{(\alpha)}=1$$
,  $q_i^*=\sigma_{\alpha,k}-q_i'$ , and  $f_{i,1}=\sigma_{\alpha,k}U_{i,1}$ .

When a certain number of grid points is considered based on Eq. (8), a system of linear equations is obtained, which can be expressed in the form matrix as follows:

$$AU = f, (9)$$

where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} q_4^* & -r_4' & & & & & \\ -p_8' & q_8^* & -r_8' & & & & & \\ & -p_{12}' & q_{12}^* & -r_{12}' & & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \ddots & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \ddots & & \ddots & \\ & & & -p_{m-8}' & q_{m-8}^* & -r_{m-8}' & \\ & & & & -p_{m-4}' & q_{m-4}^* \end{bmatrix}_{((\frac{m}{4})-1)x((\frac{m}{4})-1)}^T,$$

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} U_{4,1} & U_{8,1} & U_{12,1} & \cdots & U_{m-8,1} & U_{m-4,1} \end{bmatrix}^T,$$

and

$$f = \begin{bmatrix} U_{4,1} + p'_1 U_{01} & U_{8,1} & U_{12,1} & \cdots & U_{m-8,1} & U_{m-4,1} + p'_{m-4} U_{m,1} \end{bmatrix}^T.$$

Analysis of stability
In this section, the stability analysis on the formulated Caputo's finite-difference approximation in Eq. (6) is considered based on von Neumann's approach and the Lax equivalence theorem [21, 24, 33].

**Theorem 4.1** The fully IFD approximation to the solution of Eq. (1) with  $0 < \alpha < 1$  on the finite domain  $0 \le x \le 1$ , with zero boundary condition  $U(0,t) = U(\ell,t) = 0$  for all  $t \ge 0$ , is consistent and unconditionally stable.

*Proof* Writing the solution of Eq. (1) in the form  $U_i^n = \xi_n e^{i\omega j h}$ ,  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ ,  $\omega$  is real, Eq. (1) becomes

$$\sigma_{\alpha,k}\xi_{n-1}e^{i\omega jh} - \sigma_{\alpha,k}\sum_{j=2}^{n}\omega_{j}^{(\alpha)}(\xi_{n-j+1}e^{i\omega jh} - \xi_{n-j}e^{i\omega jh})$$

$$= -p_{i}\xi_{n}e^{i\omega(j-4)h} + (\sigma_{\alpha,k} - q_{i})\xi_{n}e^{i\omega jh} - r_{i}\xi_{n}e^{i\omega(j+4)h}.$$
(10)

By simplifying and reordering Eq. (10), we get

$$\sigma_{\alpha,k}\xi_{n-1} - \sigma_{\alpha,k}\sum_{j=2}^{n}\omega_{j}^{(\alpha)}(\xi_{n-j+1} - \xi_{n-j}) = \xi_{n}(((-p_{i} - r_{i})\cos(\omega h)) + (\sigma_{\alpha,k} - q_{i})).$$
(11)

Eventually, from Eq. (11), we reduce to

$$\xi_n = \frac{\xi_{n-1} + \sum_{j=2}^{n} \omega_j^{(\alpha)} (\xi_{n-j} - \xi_{n-j+1})}{(1 + \frac{(p_j + r_j)}{\sigma_{\alpha,k}} \cos(\omega h) + \frac{q_i}{\sigma_{\alpha,k}})}.$$
(12)

Hence, from Eq. (12), it can be observed that

$$\left(1 + \frac{(-p_i - r_i)}{\sigma_{\alpha,k}}\cos(\omega h) - \frac{q_i}{\sigma_{\alpha,k}}\right) \ge 1,\tag{13}$$

for all  $\alpha$ , n,  $\omega$ , h, and k; then we have the inequality  $\xi_1 \leq \xi_0$ , and

(2021) 2021:147

$$\xi_n \le \xi_{n-1} + \sum_{j=2}^n \omega_j^{(\alpha)}(\xi_{n-j} - \xi_{n-j+1}), \quad n \ge 2.$$
 (14)

Based on Eq. (14), for n = 2, we obtain

$$\xi_2 \le \xi_1 + \omega_2^{(\alpha)}(\xi_0 - \xi_1).$$
 (15)

Then, by repeating the same process as in Eq. (15), we can get

$$\xi_j \le \xi_{j-1}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$
 (16)

From Eq. (16), we finally have

$$\xi_n \le \xi_{n-1} + \sum_{i=2}^n \omega_j^{(\alpha)} (\xi_{n-j} - \xi_{n-j+1}) \le \xi_{n-j}. \tag{17}$$

Since each term in the sum shown in Eq. (17) is negative, it implies that the inequalities in Eqs. (16) and (17) can be generalized into

$$\xi_n \le \xi_{n-1} \le \xi_{n-2} \le \dots \le \xi_1 \le \xi_0.$$
 (18)

Thus,  $\xi_n = |U_j^n| \le \xi_0 = |U_j^0| = |f_j|$ , which entails  $||U_j^n|| \le ||f_j||$ , and we have stability. It follows lows that the numerical solution of the approximation equation to Eq. (1) converges to the exact solution as  $h, k \to 0$ .

### 5 QSPAOR iterative method

In this section, we discuss solving the tridiagonal linear system as in Eq. (9). To formulate the QSPAOR iterative method, first convert the initial linear system into the preconditioned system in the form of

$$A^* x = f^*. \tag{19}$$

Referring to Eq. (19), the new coefficient matrix is obtained by

$$A^* = PAP^T, (20)$$

then the right-hand side functional vector is

$$f^* = Pf, (21)$$

and lastly, the approximate solutions are calculated using

$$U = P^T x. (22)$$

Based on the transformation that we use in Eqs. (20)–(22), the matrix P is defined as a preconditioning matrix, that is,

$$P = I + S, (23)$$

where

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -r_1' & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -r_2' & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -r_3' & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -r_{m-1}' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{(m-1)\times(m-1)},$$

and the matrix I is an identity matrix.

Next, we let the coefficient matrix  $A^*$  in Eq. (19) be given in the form of a sum as follows:

$$A^* = D - L - V. \tag{24}$$

Based on the sum of the matrices in Eq. (24), we represent D, L, and V as the diagonal, the lower and the upper triangular matrices, respectively. Hence, using the preconditioned system in Eq. (19) and matrices in Eq. (24), the proposed iterative method for solving TFDE, QSPAOR, can be generally formulated as

$$\underline{x}^{(K+1)} = (D - \omega L)^{-1} [\beta V + (\beta - \omega)D + (1 - \beta)D] \underline{x}^{(K)} + \beta (D - \omega L)^{-1} f^*, \tag{25}$$

where  $\underline{x}^{(K+1)}$  denotes the vector to be determined at the (K+1)th iteration.

The operation of the QSPAOR method is executed as in Algorithm 1.

#### Algorithm 1 (QSPAOR method)

- i. Initialize  $U \leftarrow 0$  and  $\varepsilon \leftarrow 10^{-10}$ .
- ii. For j = 4, 8, ..., n 4 and for i = 4, 8, ..., m 4, calculate  $\underline{x}^{(K+1)} = (D - \omega L)^{-1} [\beta V + (\beta - \omega)D + (1 - \beta)D]\underline{x}^{(K)} + \beta(D - \omega L)^{-1} f^*$ , and then  $U^{(K+1)} = P^T x^{(K+1)}$ .
- iii. Convergence criterion  $||U^{(K+1)} U^{(K)}|| \le \varepsilon$ . If the process converged, go to Step (iv). Otherwise, repeat Step (i).
- iv. Display approximate solutions.

#### 6 Convergence of AOR method

As the QSPAOR iterative method has been formulated, in this section, we discuss the convergence of AOR method that we implement for the solution process to solve Eq. (1). Therefore, let us consider the AOR method [32]:

$$x^{(K+1)} = (D - \omega L)^{-1} [\beta V + (\beta - \omega)L + (1 - \beta)D] x^{(K)} + \beta (D - \omega L)^{-1} f^*,$$
(26)

with 
$$n = 0, 1, 2, ...,$$
 where

$$L_{\omega,\beta} = (D - \omega L)^{-1} [(1 - \beta)D + (\beta - \omega)L + \beta V] = D - \beta (D - \omega L)^{-1} A.$$
 (27)

**Theorem 6.1** If the AOR method (16) converges  $(\rho(L_{\omega,\beta}) < 1)$  for some  $\beta, \omega \neq 0$ , then exactly one of the following statements holds:

- (i)  $\omega \in (0,2)$  and  $\beta \in (-\infty,0) \cup (0,+\infty)$ ,
- (ii)  $\omega \in (-\infty,0) \cup (2,+\infty)$  and  $\beta \in (\frac{2\omega}{(2-\omega)},0) \cup (0,2)$ .

*Proof* It is known that the eigenvalues  $\lambda_j$  of  $L_{\omega,\beta}$  ( $\beta,\omega\neq 0$ ) are connected with the eigenvalues  $\xi_j$  of  $L_{\omega,\omega}\equiv L_{\omega}$  ( $L_{\omega}$  is the SOR iteration matrix) by the relationship

$$\lambda_j = \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{\omega}\right) + \frac{\beta}{\omega}\xi_j, \quad j = 2(2)m - 2. \tag{28}$$

From Eq. (28), we get  $\xi_j=1-\frac{\omega}{\beta}+\frac{\omega}{\beta}\lambda_j, j=2(2)m-2$ . We also note that  $\prod_{j=2,4,\dots}^{m-2}\xi_j=(1-\omega)^n$ . Therefore,  $\prod_{j=2,4,\dots}^{m-2}(1-\frac{\omega}{\beta}+\frac{\omega\lambda_j}{\beta})-(1-\omega)^n$  and since  $|\lambda_j|<1, j=2(2)m-2$  from hypothesis, we obtain

$$\left| (1 - \omega)^{n} \right| = \prod_{j=2,4,\dots}^{\frac{46}{m-2}} \left| 1 - \frac{\omega}{\beta} + \frac{\omega}{\beta} \lambda_{j} \right| \leq \prod_{j=2,4,\dots}^{m-2} \left( \left| 1 - \frac{\omega}{\beta} \right| + \left| \frac{\omega}{\beta} \right| \lambda_{j} \right| \right)$$

$$< \prod_{j=2,4,\dots}^{m-2} \left( \left| 1 - \frac{\omega}{\beta} \right| + \left| \frac{\omega}{\beta} \right| \right) = \left( \left| 1 - \frac{\omega}{\beta} \right| + \left| \frac{\omega}{\beta} \right| \right)^{n}, \tag{29}$$

that is,

$$|1 - \omega| < \left| 1 - \frac{\omega}{\beta} + \left| \frac{\omega}{\beta} \right| \right|,\tag{30}$$

or equivalently,

$$\left|\beta(1-\omega)\right| < \left|\beta-\omega\right| + \left|\omega\right|. \tag{31}$$

It can be shown that Eq. (31) holds if and only if exactly one of the following statements holds:

- (i)  $\omega \in (0,2)$  and  $\beta \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, +\infty)$ ,
- (ii)  $\omega \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (2, +\infty)$  and  $\beta \in (\frac{2\omega}{(2-\omega)}, 0) \cup (0, 2)$ ,

and the proof is completed.

**Theorem 6.2** If the AOR method with  $\omega = 0$  converges  $(\rho(L_{0,\beta}) < 1)$  then  $0 < \beta < 2$ .

*Proof* If  $\omega = 0$ , then  $L_{0,\beta} = (1 - \beta)D + \beta(L + U) = (1 - \beta)D + \beta B$ . If  $\mu_j$ , j = 2(2)m - 2 are the eigenvalues of B, then for the eigenvalues  $\lambda_j$  of  $L_{0,\beta}$  we get

$$\lambda_i = 1 - \beta + \beta \mu_i, \quad j = 2(2)m - 2,$$
(32)



which implies

$$\mu_j = \frac{1}{\beta} (\beta - 1 + \lambda_j), \quad j = 2(2)m - 2.$$
 (33)

(2021) 2021:147

But since B = 0, we get

$$\sum_{j=2,4,\dots}^{m-2} \mu_j = 0 = \sum_{j=2,4,\dots}^{m-2} \frac{1}{\beta} (\beta - 1 + \lambda_j).$$
(34)

From Eq. (34) we have

$$\sum_{j=2,4,...}^{m-2} \lambda_j = \left(\frac{m}{2} - 1\right) \cdot (1 - \beta),\tag{35}$$

and consequently,

$$\left| \left( \frac{m}{2} - 1 \right) (1 - \beta) \right| = \left| \sum_{j=2,4,\dots}^{m-2} \lambda_j \right| \le \sum_{j=2,4,\dots}^{m-2} |\lambda_j < n|.$$
 (36)

Since  $|\lambda_j| < 1$ , j = 2(2)m - 2 from the hypothesis,  $|(\frac{m}{2} - 1)(1 - \beta)| < n$ , or  $0 < \beta < 2$ . 

#### 7 Time-fractional diffusion examples

For the numerical simulation, we consider two examples of the TFDE problems to evaluate the efficiency of the proposed QSPAOR against the previously developed iterative methods in our research, namely HSPAOR and  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{FSPAOR}}$  . The three criteria, as mentioned in Sect. 2, are compared for each of the three different values of  $\alpha$ , i.e.,  $\alpha = 0.25$ ,  $\alpha = 0.50$ , and  $\alpha = 0.75$ . The iteration cycle for the running program based on Algorithm 1 is limited by the tolerance  $\varepsilon = 10^{-10}$ . We consider the following two TFDE examples, namely the time-fractional initial boundary value problems from [6]:

Example 1

$$\frac{\partial^{\alpha} U(x,t)}{\partial t^{\alpha}} = \frac{\partial^{2} U(x,t)}{\partial x^{2}}, \quad 0 < \alpha \le 1, 0 \le x \le \gamma, t > 0.$$
(37)

The boundary conditions that we implement are stated in fractional terms as follows:

$$U(0,t) = \frac{2kt^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}, \qquad U(\ell,t) = \ell^2 + \frac{2kt^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}, \tag{38}$$

and to initiate the approximate solutions, we set the initial condition

$$U(x,0) = x^2. (39)$$

Example 2

$$\frac{\partial U(x,t)}{\partial t} = \Gamma(1.2)x^{\beta} \frac{\partial^{\beta} U(x,t)}{\partial x^{\beta}} + 3x^{2}(2x-1)e^{-t}.$$
 (40)

Table 1 Numerical results for Example 1

М	Method	$\alpha = 0.25$			$\alpha = 0.50$			$\alpha = 0.7$	5	
		K	Seconds	MAE	K	Seconds	MAE	K	Seconds	MAF
128	FSPAOR	1351	5.80	9.97e-05	694	0.92	9.86e-05	318	0.37	1.30e-04
	HSPAOR	409	2.47	9.97e-05	253	0.46	9.85e-05	93	0.12	1.30e-04
	QSPAOR	200	0.92	9.96e-05	124	0.24	9.84e-05	44	0.07	1.29e-04
256	FSPAOR	4192	24.95	9.97e-05	2694	7.52	9.90e-05	1307	2.92	1.30e-04
	HSPAOR	1605	11.00	9.97e-05	1027	4.47	9.89e-05	492	1.46	1.29e-04
	QSPAOR	784	4.19	9.95e-05	502	2.10	9.88e-05	241	0.87	1.28e-04
512	FSPAOR	15,608	236.25	9.99e-05	10,085	62.59	9.90e-05	4947	29.56	1.32e-04
	HSPAOR	6029	114.76	9.97e-05	3887	32.61	9.90e-05	1900	15.05	1.31e-04
	QSPAOR	2950	43.02	9.95e-05	1540	15.21	9.89e-05	928	7.21	1.30e-04
1024	FSPAOR	54,130	3378.36	9.99e-05	33,652	432.78	9.90e-05	16,609	215.41	1.40e-04
	HSPAOR	21,478	1613.83	9.97e-05	13,387	212.95	9.88e-05	6498	113.40	1.40e-04
	QSPAOR	10,640	898.67	9.95e-05	5531	103.96	9.87e-05	3479	53.67	1.39e-04
2048	FSPAOR	196,523	14,378.36	9.99e-05	121,947	3026.56	9.90e-05	59,500	1211.32	1.71e-04
	HSPAOR	77,153	7189.71	9.97e-05	47,933	1349.79	9.90e-05	23,344	694.40	1.71e-04
	QSPAOR	38,471	3078.90	9.96e-05	19,711	601.76	9.88e-05	11,740	321.85	1.70e-04

Table 2 Numerical results for Example 2

М	Method	$\alpha = 0.2$	5		$\alpha = 0.5$	0		$\alpha = 0.75$	5	
		K	Seconds	MAE	K	Seconds	MAE	K	Seconds	MAE
128	FSPAOR	406	3.32	1.95e-02	153	2.27	8.29e-02	142	1.62	1.37e-01
	<b>HSPAOR</b>	136	1.48	1.94e-02	77	1.30	8.30e-02	71	0.67	1.36e-01
	QSPAOR	49	0.72	1 24e-02	34	0.64	8.29e-02	19	0.33	1 35e-01
256	FSPAOR	1270	14.75	1.95e-02	591	8.21	8.29e-02	236	4.28	1.37e-01
	<b>HSPAOR</b>	618	7.21	1.94e-02	287	4.33	8.30e-02	111	2.33	1.36e-01
	QSPAOR	270	3.35	1 40 02	141	2.03	8.29e-02	81	1.96	1.35e-01
512	FSPAOR	4841	91.72	1.95e-02	2330	53.97	8.29e-02	1064	31.84	1.37e-01
	<b>HSPAOR</b>	2365	44.07	1.95e-02	1139	23.24	8.30e-02	519	12.77	1.36e-01
	QSPAOR	1044	21.10	1.94e-02	592	11.87	8.29e-02	324	5.25	1 35e-01
1024	FSPAOR	16,373	152.97	1.94e-02	8471	428.76	8.29e-02	4029	323.97	1.37e-01
	<b>HSPAOR</b>	8816	61.07	1.94e-02	4273	213.24	8.30e-02	1987	148.63	1.36e-01
	QSPAOR	3908	29.58	1.94e-02	1895	106.90	8.29e-02	1219	51.76	1 35e-01
2048	FSPAOR	59,608	853.87	1.94e-02	31,048	1121.34	8.29e-02	14,899	614.63	1.37e-01
	<b>HSPAOR</b>	29,771	426.83	1.95e-02	15,340	511.24	8.30e-02	7344	253.97	1.36e-01
	QSPAOR	13,203	209.50	1.94e-02	6852	251.99	8.29e-02	4497	123.18	1.35e-01

For the example of Eq. (40), we initiate the approximate solutions using the initial condition  $U(x,0) = x^2 - x^3$  and implement the zero Dirichlet conditions. Meanwhile, the exact solution to this problem is  $U(x,t) = x^2(1-x)e^{-t}$ .

All-important numerical results from the implementation of QSPAOR, HSPAOR, and FSPAOR methods to solve the numerical examples in Eqs. (37) and (40) are recorded in Tables 1 and 2. For the consistency inspection, we run the numerical simulation by increasing the values of mesh sizes, that is, m = 128, 256, 512, 1024, and 2048. Based on the results tabulated in Tables 1 and 2, we found that QSPAOR required the least number of iterations and the shortest computation time to finish computing the two examples' solutions compared to the HSPAOR and FSPAOR. The numerical results are similar for all values of mesh sizes and parameter  $\alpha$ . These results attribute the significant improvement in computing efficiency to the quarter-sweep technique, which computes a quarter



of the total number of mesh points using PAOR instead of all mesh points in the solution

The results can be summarized as follows. For Example 1, the number of iterations and computation time have declined by 80.25-85.36% and 71.89-81.78%, respectively, if QSPAOR method is compared to the FSPAOR method. When QSPAOR is compared to HSPAOR, the number of iterations and the computation time have reduced by about 49.20-54.74% and 44.18-57.27%, respectively. For Example 2, QSPAOR has reduced the number of iterations and the computation time of FSPAOR by about 72.13-84.16% and 67.16-80.90% respectively. When compared to HSPAOR, these improvements became 43.57-64.35% and 38.57-57.28%, respectively. Overall, the accuracy of the three numerical methods, i.e., QSPAOR, HSPAOR, and FSPAOR, is comparable.

#### 8 Conclusion

This paper solved a one-dimensional TFDE by applying the quarter-sweep finite-difference scheme and the PAOR iterative method. Using the quarter-sweep technique and PAOR iterative method, the computational complexity of computing the solutions of the TFDE has been successfully reduced. The quarter-sweep calculated only a quarter of the total mesh points by using PAOR while averaging the remaining mesh points, and the result is promising. The numerical experiments demonstrated the efficiency of the proposed QSPAOR method, in which the number of iterations and computation time have been reduced significantly, compared to the HSPAOR and FSPAOR methods. In addition to that, the accuracy of the three tested methods is almost identical. The study found that the computational complexity reduction by the quarter-sweep and the PAOR method can be an efficient numerical method to solve TFDE.



### 10 Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees and the handling editor for their careful reading and relevant remarks/suggestions



The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### 18 lability of data and materials Not applicable.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Aut 29s' contributions

The authors contributed equally to this article. They have all read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup> Department Tadris Matematika, IAIN Bengkulu, Bengkulu City, Bengkulu, 65144, Indonesia. <sup>2</sup>Department Mathematics, Anand International College of Engineering, Near Kanota, Agra Road, Jaipur, Rajasthan, 303012, India. <sup>3</sup>Nonlinear Dynamics Research Center (NDRC), Ajman University, Ajman, UAE. <sup>4</sup>Faculty of Science and Natural Resources, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, 88400, Malaysia. <sup>5</sup>Faculty of Computing and Informatics, Universiti Malaysia Sabah Labuan International Campus, Labuan F.T., 87000, Malaysia. <sup>6</sup>Institute of Mathematical Sciences, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, 50603, Malaysia.

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 1 December 2020 Accepted: 18 February 2021 Published online: 02 March 2021

Agarwal, P., El-Sayed, A.A.: Vieta-Lucas polynomials for solving a fractional-order mathematical physics model. Adv. Differ, Equ. 2020(1), 626 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-020-03085-

- Akram, T., Abbas, M., Ali, A., Iqbal, A., Baleanu, D.: A numerical approach of a time fractional reaction—diffusion model with a non-singular kernel. Symmetry 12(10), 1653 (2020). https://doi.org/10.3390/sym12101653
- Ali, K.K., Osman, M.S., Baskonus, H.M., Elazabb, N.S., İlhan, E.: Analytical and numerical study of the HIV-1 infection of CD4+ T-cells conformable fractional mathematical model that causes acquired immunodeficiency syndrome with the effect of antiviral drug therapy. Math. Methods Appl. Sci. (2020). https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.7022
- Aruchunan, E., Muthuvalu, M.S., Sulaiman, J.: Quarter-sweep iteration concept on conjugate gradient normal residual method via second order quadrature-finite difference schemes for solving Fredholm integro-differential equations. Sains Malays. 44(1), 139–146 (2015). https://doi.org/10.17576/jsm-2015-4401-19
- Cen, Z., Huang, J., Le, A., Xu, A.: A second-order scheme for a time-fractional diffusion equation. Appl. Math. Lett. 90, 79–85 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2018.10.016
- Demir, A., Erman, S., Özgür, B., Korkmaz, E.: Analysis of fractional partial differential equations by Taylor series expansion. Bound. Value Probl. 2013(1), 68 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-2770-2013-68
- Duo, S., Ju, L., Zhang, Y.: A fast algorithm for solving the space–time fractional diffusion equation. Comput. Math. Appl. 75(6), 1929–1941 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2017.04.008
- Fujita, Y.: Cauchy problems of fractional order and stable processes. Jpn. J. Appl. Math. 7(3), 459–476 (1990). https://doi.org/10.1007/bf03167854
- Gao, W., Veeresha, P., Prakasha, D.G., Baskonus, H.M.: New numerical simulation for fractional Benney–Lin equation
  arising in falling film problems using two novel techniques. Numer. Methods Partial Differ. Equ. 37(1), 210–243 (2021).
  https://doi.org/10.1002/num.22526
- Gao, W., Veeresha, P., Prakasha, D.G., Baskonus, H.M., Yel, G.: New numerical results for the time-fractional Phi-four equation using a novel analytical approach. Symmetry 12(3), 478 (2020). https://doi.org/10.3390/sym12030478
- Gao, W., Yel, G., Baskonus, H.M., Cattani, C.: Complex solitons in the conformable (2 + 1)-dimensional Ablowitz–Kaup–Newell–Segur equation. AIMS Math. 5(1), 507–521 (2020). https://doi.org/10.3934/math.2020034
- Garrappa, R.: Numerical solution of fractional differential equations: a survey and a software tutorial. Mathematics 6(2), 16 (2018). https://doi.org/10.3390/math6020016
- Gong, C., Bao, W., Tang, G., Jiang, Y., Liu, J.: Computational challenge of fractional differential equations and the potential solutions: a survey. Math. Probl. Eng. 2015, 258265 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/258265
- González-Olvera, M.A., Torres, L., Hernández-Fontes, J.V., Mendoza, E.: Time fractional diffusion equation for shipping water events simulation. Chaos Solitons Fractals 143, 110538 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2020.110538
- Gunawardena, A.D., Jain, S.K., Snyder, L.: Modified iterative methods for consistent linear systems. Linear Algebra Appl. 154–156, 123–143 (1991). https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3795(91)90376-8
- Hacksbusch, W.: Iterative solution of large sparse systems of equations. Appl. Math. Sci. (1994). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-4288-8
- Hilfer, R.: Foundations of fractional dynamics. Fractals 3(3), 549–556 (1995). https://doi.org/10.1142/s0218348x95000485
- Hilfer, R.: Fractional diffusion based on Riemann–Liouville fractional derivatives. J. Phys. Chem. B 104(16), 3914–3917 (2000). https://doi.org/10.1021/jp9936289
- Jaradat, I., Alquran, M., Momani, S., Baleanu, D.: Numerical schemes for studying biomathematics model inherited with memory-time and delay-time. Alex. Eng. J. 59(5), 2969–2974 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2020.03.038
- Kumar, S., Shaw, P.K., Abdel-Aty, A., Mahmoud, E.E.: A numerical study on fractional differential equation with population growth model. Numer. Methods Partial Differ. Equ. (2020). https://doi.org/10.1002/num.22684
- Langlands, T.A.M., Henry, B.I.: The accuracy and stability of an implicit solution method for the fractional diffusion equation. J. Comput. Phys. 205(2), 719–736 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2004.11.025
- Othman, M., Abdullah, A.R.: An efficient four points modified explicit group Poisson solver. Int. J. Comput. Math. 76(2), 203–217 (2000). https://doi.org/10.1080/00207160008805020
- 23. Saad, Y.: Iterative Methods for Sparse Linear Systems. SIAM, Philadelphia (2003)
- Schultz, M.H.: A generalization of the Lax equivalence theorem. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 17(5), 1034–1035 (1966). https://doi.org/10.1090/s0002-9939-1966-0198262-1
- Sun, H., Cao, W.: A fast temporal second-order difference scheme for the time-fractional subdiffusion equation. Numer. Methods Partial Differ. Equ. (2020). https://doi.org/10.1002/num.22612
- Sunarto, A., Sulaiman, J.: Computational algorithm PAOR for time-fractional diffusion equations. IOP Conf. Ser., Mater. Sci. Eng. 874, 012029 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899x/874/1/012029
- Veeresha, P., Baskonus, H.M., Prakasha, D.G., Gao, W., Yel, G.: Regarding new numerical solution of fractional Schistosomiasis disease arising in biological phenomena. Chaos Solitons Fractals 133, 109661 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2020.109661
- Vui Lung, J.C., Sulaiman, J.: On quarter-sweep finite difference scheme for one-dimensional porous medium equations. Int. J. Appl. Math. 33(3), 439–450 (2020). https://doi.org/10.12732/ijam.v33i3.6
- Xu, Q., Xu, Y.: Extremely low order time-fractional differential equation and application in combustion process. Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 64, 135–148 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2018.04.021
- Yang, XJ., Gao, F.: A new technology for solving diffusion and heat equations. Therm. Sci. 21(1 Part A), 133–140 (2017). https://doi.org/10.2298/tsci160411246y
- Yang, X.J., Ragulskis, M., Taha, T.: A new general fractional-order derivative with Rabotnov fractional-exponential kernel. Therm. Sci. 23(6 Part B), 3711–3718 (2019). https://doi.org/10.2298/tsci180825254y
- Yeyios, A.K.: A necessary condition for the convergence of the accelerated overrelaxation (AOR) method. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 26(3), 371–373 (1989). https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-0427(89)90309-9
- 33. Zwillinger, D.: Handbook of Differential Equations, 3rd edn. Academic Press, San Diego (1997)

#### Terms and Conditions

Springer Nature journal content, brought to you courtesy of Springer Nature Customer Service Center GmbH ("Springer Nature").

Springer Nature supports a reasonable amount of sharing of research papers by authors, subscribers and authorised users ("Users"), for small-scale personal, non-commercial use provided that all copyright, trade and service marks and other proprietary notices are maintained. By accessing, sharing, receiving or otherwise using the Springer Nature journal content you agree to these terms of use ("Terms"). For these purposes, Springer Nature considers academic use (by researchers and students) to be non-commercial.

These Terms are supplementary and will apply in addition to any applicable website terms and conditions, a relevant site licence or a personal subscription. These Terms will prevail over any conflict or ambiguity with regards to the relevant terms, a site licence or a personal subscription (to the extent of the conflict or ambiguity only). For Creative Commons-licensed articles, the terms of the Creative Commons license used will apply.

We collect and use personal data to provide access to the Springer Nature journal content. We may also use these personal data internally within ResearchGate and Springer Nature and as agreed share it, in an anonymised way, for purposes of tracking, analysis and reporting. We will not otherwise disclose your personal data outside the ResearchGate or the Springer Nature group of companies unless we have your permission as detailed in the Privacy Policy.

While Users may use the Springer Nature journal content for small scale, personal non-commercial use, it is important to note that Users may not:

- use such content for the purpose of providing other users with access on a regular or large scale basis or as a means to circumvent access control:
- use such content where to do so would be considered a criminal or statutory offence in any jurisdiction, or gives rise to civil liability, or is otherwise unlawful:
- falsely or misleadingly imply or suggest endorsement, approval, sponsorship, or association unless explicitly agreed to by Springer Nature in writing;
- 4. use bots or other automated methods to access the content or redirect messages
- 5. override any security feature or exclusionary protocol; or
- share the content in order to create substitute for Springer Nature products or services or a systematic database of Springer Nature journal content.

In line with the restriction against commercial use, Springer Nature does not permit the creation of a product or service that creates revenue, royalties, rent or income from our content or its inclusion as part of a paid for service or for other commercial gain. Springer Nature journal content cannot be used for inter-library loans and librarians may not upload Springer Nature journal content on a large scale into their, or any other, institutional repository.

These terms of use are reviewed regularly and may be amended at any time. Springer Nature is not obligated to publish any information or content on this website and may remove it or features or functionality at our sole discretion, at any time with or without notice. Springer Nature may revoke this licence to you at any time and remove access to any copies of the Springer Nature journal content which have been saved.

To the fullest extent permitted by law, Springer Nature makes no warranties, representations or guarantees to Users, either express or implied with respect to the Springer nature journal content and all parties disclaim and waive any implied warranties or warranties imposed by law, including merchantability or fitness for any particular purpose.

Please note that these rights do not automatically extend to content, data or other material published by Springer Nature that may be licensed from third parties.

If you would like to use or distribute our Springer Nature journal content to a wider audience or on a regular basis or in any other manner not expressly permitted by these Terms, please contact Springer Nature at

onlineservice@springernature.com

ORIGINAL	LITY REPORT			
SIMILAR	4% RITY INDEX	9% INTERNET SOURCES	10% PUBLICATIONS	5% STUDENT PAPERS
PRIMARY	SOURCES			
1	Discrete	es in Difference Dynamical Syst and Business M	tems", Springe	r I %
2	Student Paper	ed to Universiti	Sains Malaysia	1 %
3	www.doc	estoc.com		1 %
4	jidhealth Internet Source			1 %
5	Submitte Manager Student Paper	ed to School of ment ITB	Business and	1 %
6	ecc.isc.go			1 %
7	WWW.COL	ırsehero.com		1 %
8	jyx.jyu.fi Internet Source	2		<1%

9	research.edgehill.ac.uk Internet Source	<1%
10	www.degruyter.com Internet Source	<1%
11	techscience.com Internet Source	<1%
12	file.scirp.org Internet Source	<1%
13	Jianxiong Cao, Guojie Song, Jie Wang, Qihong Shi, Sujing Sun. "Blow-up and global solutions for a class of time fractional nonlinear reaction-diffusion equation with weakly spatial source", Applied Mathematics Letters,	<1%
	2019 Publication	
14	—	<1%
14	Publication  www.i-csrs.org	
_	Publication  www.i-csrs.org Internet Source  advancesindifferenceequations.springeropen.co	om. <b>1</b>

Majid et al. "Application of four-point MEGMSOR method for the solution of 2D Helmholtz equations", International Journal of Mathematical Analysis, 2015

Publication

- stemcellres.biomedcentral.com
  Internet Source

  19 Hao Yu, Boying Wu, Dazhi Zhang. "The
- Hao Yu, Boying Wu, Dazhi Zhang. "The Laguerre-Hermite spectral methods for the time-fractional sub-diffusion equations on unbounded domains", Numerical Algorithms, 2019

Publication

M Le Garff-Tavernier, H Blons, F Nguyen-Khac, M Pannetier et al. "Functional assessment of p53 in chronic lymphocytic leukemia", Blood Cancer Journal, 2011

<1%

<1%

Publication

22

Shutong Liu, Zhongkui Sun, Nannan Zhao.
"Tuning coupling rate to control oscillation quenching in fractional-order coupled oscillators", Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science, 2020
Publication

A. Hadjidimos, N. S. Stylianopoulos. "Optimal Semi-Iterative Methods for Complex SOR with Results from Potential Theory", Numerische Mathematik, 2006

23	Submitted to University of Malaya Student Paper	<1%
24	archive.org Internet Source	<1%
25	Communications in Computer and Information Science, 2012. Publication	<1%
26	Mohd Norfadli Suardi, Jumat Sulaiman.  "Redlich-Kister Finite Difference Solution for Solving Two-Point Boundary Value Problems by using Ksor Iteration Family", Advances in Science, Technology and Engineering Systems Journal, 2021  Publication	<1%
27	arxiv-export-lb.library.cornell.edu Internet Source	<1%
28	cmde.tabrizu.ac.ir Internet Source	<1%
29	İbrahim Avcı, Nazim I. Mahmudov. "Numerical Solutions for Multi-Term Fractional Order Differential Equations with Fractional Taylor Operational Matrix of Fractional Integration", Mathematics, 2020 Publication	<1%

30	Elsayed I. Mahmoud, Viktor N. Orlov.  "Numerical Solution of Two Dimensional Time-Space Fractional Fokker Planck Equation With Variable Coefficients", Mathematics, 2021 Publication	<1%
31	web.math.ucsb.edu Internet Source	<1%
32	www.doria.fi Internet Source	<1%
33	J. C. De Los Reyes, E. Loayza, P. Merino. "Second-order orthant-based methods with enriched Hessian information for sparse \$\$\ell _1\$\$ \ell 1 -optimization", Computational Optimization and Applications, 2017 Publication	<1%
34	Submitted to Middle East Technical University  Student Paper	<1%
35	P. W. Ng. "Closed Convex Hulls of Unitary Orbits in $\$\{C^{*}_{r}(\mathbb{F}_{\infty})\}$ Cr $(F \infty)$ ", Integral Equations and Operator Theory, 2016	<1%
36	Qinwu Xu, Yufeng Xu. "Extremely low order time-fractional differential equation and application in combustion process",	<1%

Elsayed I. Mahmoud, Viktor N. Orlov.

## Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation, 2018

Publication

37	Xiaoqiang Yue, Menghuan Liu, Shi Shu, Weiping Bu, Yehong Xu. "Space - time finite element adaptive AMG for multi - term time fractional advection diffusion equations", Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, 2019 Publication	<1%
38	Xin-Yi Gao, Yong-Jiang Guo, Wen-Rui Shan. " Symbolic computation on a ( )-dimensional generalized variable-coefficient Boiti–Leon– Pempinelli system for the water waves ", Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 2021 Publication	<1%
39	jmre.dlut.edu.cn Internet Source	<1%
40	mcf.gsfc.nasa.gov Internet Source	<1%
41	paperity.org Internet Source	<1%
42	roderic.uv.es Internet Source	<1%
43	www.hindawi.com Internet Source	<1%



Publication

Exclude quotes On Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On