

Artikel

by Andang Sunarto

Submission date: 02-Sep-2021 04:10PM (UTC+0800)

Submission ID: 1639980330

File name: ICAL_SOLUTION_OF_THE_TIME-FRACTIONAL_DIFFUSION_EQUATIONS_VIA.pdf (167.2K)

Word count: 4395

Character count: 20976

19

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/350432370>

NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF THE TIME-FRACTIONAL DIFFUSION EQUATIONS VIA QUARTER-SWEEP PRECONDITIONED GAUSS-SEIDEL METHOD

Article in *International Journal of Applied Mathematics* · February 2021

DOI: 10.12732/ijam.v34i1.5

CITATIONS

0

READS

15

3 authors:



Andang Sunarto

Universitas Islam Negeri (UIN) Fatmawati Sukarno, Bengkulu, Indo...

62 PUBLICATIONS 113 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Jumat Sulaiman

Universiti Malaysia Sabah (UMS)

359 PUBLICATIONS 1,557 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Jackel Wui Lung Chew

Universiti Malaysia Sabah Labuan International Campus

23 PUBLICATIONS 35 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Optimization [View project](#)



Statistika Ekonomi [View project](#)

All content following this page was uploaded by Andang Sunarto on 27 March 2021.

The user has requested enhancement of the downloaded file.

NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF THE TIME-FRACTIONAL
DIFFUSION EQUATIONS VIA QUARTER-SWEEP
PRECONDITIONED GAUSS-SEIDEL METHOD

Andang Sunarto¹, Jumat Sulaiman²
Jackel Chew Vui Lung^{3 §}

¹ IAIN Bengkulu, Indonesia
Jl Raden Fatah Kota Bengkulu
Bengkulu, INDONESIA

² Faculty of Science and Natural Resource
Universiti Malaysia Sabah
88400, Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, MALAYSIA

³ Faculty of Computing and Informatics
Universiti Malaysia Sabah Labuan International Campus
87000, Labuan F.T., MALAYSIA

27

Abstract: In this research, we propose the approximate solution of the time-fractional diffusion equation based on a quarter-sweep implicit finite difference approximation equation. To derive this approximation equation, Caputo's time-fractional derivative has been used to discretize the proposed problems. By using the Caputo finite difference approximation equation, a linear system will be generated and solved iteratively. In addition to that, formulation and implementation the QSPGS iterative method are also presented. Based on the numerical results of the proposed iterative method, it can be concluded that the proposed iterative method is superior to the FSPGS and HSPGS iterative method.

20

AMS Subject Classification: 26A33, 65M06, 65M22

Key Words: Caputo fractional derivative; implicit finite-difference; QSPGS method

Received: August 26, 2020

© 2021 Academic Publications

§Correspondence author

36

1. Introduction

In recent years, many studies use fractional partial differential equations (FPDEs) [14, 7, 15, 3, 2] for solving fractional problems to derive numerical and/or analytical solutions. Based on iterative methods for solving a one-dimensional diffusion model with constant coefficients and analytical solutions and for an instant a fractional derivative replaces the first-order space partial derivative in a diffusion model and lead to slower diffusion [14]. Therefore, there are numerical methods proposed to solve the time-fractional diffusion equations (TFDE), such as transform methods [22], finite elements together with the method of lines [15], explicit and implicit finite difference methods [15, 24]. Nevertheless, the explicit methods are conditionally stable, these finite difference schemes are available in the literature [24].

To solve the time-fractional diffusion equations (TFDE) problem needs to be discretized. Based on the implicit finite difference scheme and Caputo fractional operator, the approximation equations can be used to construct a linear system at each time level. To solve linear systems, many researchers also have discussed the concept of iterative methods, see [20, 10, 19] and reference therein. Besides these iterative methods, the concept of block iteration has also been introduced by [8]. Furthermore, Ibrahim and Abdullah [11], and Yousif and Evans [21] have pointed out the efficiency of block iterative methods.

For solving the large linear system, Abdullah [1] initiated Half-Sweep iteration, which is one of the most known and widely used iterative techniques to solve in solving any linear systems. Differently from the Half-sweep iteration approach, Othman and Abdullah [16] have expanded this approach to initiate the Modified Explicit Group (MEG) method based on the quarter-sweep approach. It is proved that this method is one of the most efficient block iterative methods in solving any linear system as compared with ED and EDG iterative methods. Also, another researcher has shown the capability of the quarter-sweep iteration in solving nonlinear system, see [5]. Among the existing iterative methods, the preconditioned iterative methods [4, 9] have been widely accepted to be one of the efficient methods for solving linear systems.

Because of the advantages of these iterative methods, this paper aims to construct and investigate the effectiveness of the Quarter-Sweep Preconditioned Gauss-Seidel (QSPGS) iterative method for solving time fractional parabolic partial differential equations (TPPDE's) based on the Caputo implicit finite difference approximation equation. In this paper, we investigate the performance of the Quarter-Sweep Preconditioned Gauss-Seidel (QSGS) iterative method for solving time-fractional parabolic partial differential equations (TPPDE's)

based on the Caputo implicit finite difference approximation equation. To demonstrate the capability of the Quarter-Sweep Preconditioned Gauss-Seidel (QSPGS) method, we also implement the Full-Sweep Preconditioned Gauss-Seidel or FSPGS and Half-Sweep Preconditioned Gauss-Seidel or HSPGS iterative methods being used as a control method.

2. Preliminaries

To begin the derivation of the QSPGS iterative method, let us consider the time-fractional diffusion equation (TFDE's) defined as

$$\frac{\partial^\alpha u(x, t)}{\partial t^\alpha} = a(x) \frac{\partial^2 u(x, t)}{\partial x^2} + b(x) \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial x} + c(x)u(x, t), \tag{1}$$

where $a(x)$, $b(x)$ and $c(x)$ are known functions or constants whereas α is a parameter which refers to the fractional-order of time derivative. Before to set the discretizing problem (1), let us remind some definitions from the theory of fractional calculus.

Definition 1. ([17]) The Riemann-Liouville integral operator J^α of fractional order α is defined as

$$J^\alpha f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt, \quad \alpha > 0, x > 0. \tag{2}$$

Definition 2. ([17]) The Caputo fractional derivative operator D^α of order $\alpha > 0$ is defined as

$$D^\alpha f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)} \int_0^x \frac{f^{(m)}(t)}{(x-t)^{\alpha-m+1}} dt, \tag{3}$$

with $m-1 < \alpha \leq m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $x > 0$. In (2) and (3), $\Gamma(\alpha)$ is the well-known Gamma function

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x} dx. \tag{4}$$

For solving the numerical of time-fractional diffusion equation (TFDE's), in equation (1), we get numerical approximations by using the Caputo derivative definition with Dirichlet boundary conditions and consider the non-local fractional derivative operator. This approximation equation can be categorized as an unconditionally stable scheme. On strength of Problem (1), the solution

domain of the problem has been restricted to the finite space domain $0 \leq x \leq \gamma$, with $0 < \alpha < 1$ whereas the parameter α refers to the fractional-order of space derivative. To solve Problem (1), let us consider the initial and boundary conditions of Problem (1) be given as

$$u(0, t) = g_0(t), u(l, t) = g_1(t), \quad (5)$$

and the initial condition

$$u(x, 0) = f(x), \quad (6)$$

where $g_0(t)$, $g_1(t)$, and $f(x)$ are given functions. Based on a discretized approximation to the time-fractional derivative in equation (1), we consider Caputo's fractional partial derivative of order α , as

$$\frac{\partial^\alpha u(x, t)}{\partial t^\alpha} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-1)} \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial u(x-s)}{\partial t} (t-s)^{-\alpha} ds, \quad t > 0, 0 < \alpha < 1. \quad (7)$$

3. The Caputo implicit finite difference approximation

Based on equation (7), the formulation of the Caputo fractional partial derivative of the first order approximation method is given as

$$D_t^\alpha U_{i,n} \cong \sigma_{\alpha,k} \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j^\alpha (U_{i,n-j+1} - U_{i,n-j}), \quad (8)$$

and we have the following expressions

$$\sigma_{\alpha,k} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)(1-\alpha)^{1-\alpha}}, \quad (9)$$

and

$$\omega_j^\alpha = j^{1-\alpha} - (j-1)^{1-\alpha}. \quad (10)$$

First, to discretize Problem (1), let the solution domain of the problem be partitioned uniformly. To do this, we consider some positive integers m and n in which the grid sizes in space and time directions for the finite-difference algorithm are defined as $h = \delta x = \gamma/m$ and $k = \delta t = T/n$, respectively. According to these grid sizes, we develop the uniform grid network of the solution domain where the grid points in the space interval $[0, \gamma]$ are shown as the numbers $x_i = ih, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$, and the grid points in the time interval $[0, T]$ are labeled $t_j = jk, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then the values of the function $U(x, t)$ at the grid points are denoted as $U_{i,j} = U(x_i, t_j)$. According to equation (8) and the implicit finite difference discretization scheme, the Caputo implicit finite

4. Analysis of ²⁵stability

In this section, we have considered the stability analysis of the implicit finite difference approximation equation in equation (11). For stability analysis, we will use Von-Neumann's [13] and the Lax equivalence theorem [18]. It follows that the ⁴¹numerical solution of the approximation equation in equation (11) converges to the exact solution as $h, k \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem 3. ²³The finite implicit numerical method (11), the solution to equation (1) with $0 < \alpha < 1$ on ³⁰finite domain $0 \leq x \leq 1$, with zero boundary condition $u(0, t) = u(1, t) = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$ is consistent and unconditionally stable.

Proof. To examine the stability of the proposed method, we find for the solution of the form $U_j^n = \zeta_n e^{i\omega j h}$, $i = \sqrt{-1}$, ω is real. Therefore, equation (12) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\alpha, k} \zeta_{n-1} e^{i\omega j h} - \sigma_{\alpha, k} \sum_{j=2}^n \omega_j^{(\alpha)} (\zeta_{n-j+1} e^{i\omega j h} - \zeta_{n-j} e^{i\omega j h}) \\ = -p_i \zeta_n e^{i\omega(j-4)h} + (\sigma_{\alpha, k} - q_i) \zeta_n e^{i\omega j h} - r_i \zeta_n e^{i\omega(j+4)h}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

By simplifying and reordering over equation (19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\alpha, k} \zeta_{n-1} - \sigma_{\alpha, k} \sum_{j=2}^n \omega_j^{(\alpha)} (\zeta_{n-j+1} - \zeta_{n-j}) \\ = \zeta_n ((-p_i - r_i) \cos(\omega h)) + (\sigma_{\alpha, k} - q_i). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

This can be reduced to

$$\zeta_n = \frac{\zeta_{n-1} + \sum_{j=2}^n \omega_j^{(\alpha)} (\zeta_{n-j} - \zeta_{n-j+1})}{1 + \frac{(-p_i - r_i)}{\sigma_{\alpha, k}} \cos(\omega h) - \frac{q_i}{\sigma_{\alpha, k}}}. \quad (21)$$

From equation (21), it can be observed that the conducted as

$$\left(1 + \frac{(-p_i - r_i)}{\sigma_{\alpha, k}} \cos(\omega h) - \frac{q_i}{\sigma_{\alpha, k}}\right) \geq 1, \quad (22)$$

for all α, n, ω, h and k , we have

$$\zeta_1 \leq \zeta_0, \quad (23)$$

and

$$\zeta_n \leq \zeta_{n-1} + \sum_{j=2}^n \omega_j^{(\alpha)} (\zeta_{n-j} - \zeta_{n-j+1}), \quad n \geq 2. \quad (24)$$

Thus, for $n = 2$, the last inequality implies

$$\zeta_2 \leq \zeta_1 + \omega_2^{(\alpha)} (\zeta_0 - \zeta_1). \quad (25)$$

Again, repeating the above process, we can get

$$\zeta_j \leq \zeta_{j-1}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1. \quad (26)$$

From equation (24), we finally have

$$\zeta_n \leq \zeta_{n-1} + \sum_{j=2}^n \omega_j^{(\alpha)} (\zeta_{n-j} - \zeta_{n-j+1}) \leq \zeta_{n-1}. \quad (27)$$

21

Since each term in the summation is negative, it shows that the inequalities (23) and (24) imply

$$\zeta_n \leq \zeta_{n-1} \leq \zeta_{n-2} \leq \dots \leq \zeta_1 \leq \zeta_0. \quad (28)$$

Thus

$$\zeta_n = |U_j^n| \leq \zeta_0 = |U_j^0| = |f_j|, \quad (29)$$

which entails $\|U_j^n\| \leq \|f_j\|$, and we have stability. \square

5. Formulation of Quarter-Sweep Preconditioned Gauss-Seidel (QSPGS)

Concerning the tridiagonal linear system (16), the characteristics of its coefficient matrix are large scale and sparse. As mentioned in Section 1, many researchers have discussed various iterative methods, such as Gunawardena [9] and Young [20]. To obtain numerical solutions of the tridiagonal linear system (16), we consider the Quarter-Sweep Preconditioned Gauss-Seidel (QSPGS) iterative method, which is the most known and widely used for solving any linear system. Before applying the QSPGS iterative method, we need to transform the original linear system (15) into the preconditioned linear system

$$A^* x = f^*, \quad (30)$$

where $A^* = PAP^T$, $f^* = Pf$, $U = P^T x$. The matrix P is called a preconditioned matrix and defined as [12]

$$P = I + S, \quad (31)$$

where

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -r_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -r_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -r_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -r_{m-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{(m-1) \times (m-1)}, \quad (32)$$

and the matrix I is an identical matrix. To formulate QSPGS method, let the coefficient matrix A^* in (30) be expressed as the summation of the three matrices

$$A^* = D - L - V, \quad (33)$$

where D , L , and V are diagonal, lower triangular, and upper triangular matrices, respectively. By using equation (30), the formulation of QSPGS iterative method can be defined generally as

$$x^{(k+1)} = (D - L)^{-1}Vx^{(k)}(D - L)^{-1}f^*, \quad (34)$$

where $x^{(k+1)}$ represents an unknown vector at $(k + 1)$ th iterations. The implementation of the QSPGS iterative method can be described in Algorithm 1.

Algorithm 1: QSPGS

i. Initialize $U \leftarrow 0$ and $\epsilon \leftarrow 10^{-10}$,

ii. For $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, implement

For $i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$, calculate

$$x^{(k+1)} = (D - L)^{-1}Vx^{(k)} + (D - L)^{-1}f^*$$

Convergence test. If the convergence criterion i.e. $\|U^{(k+1)} - U^{(k)}\| \leq \epsilon$ is satisfied, go to Step (iii). Otherwise, go back to Step (i).

iii. Display approximate solutions.

6. Numerical experiment

In this section, we use one example of the time-fractional diffusion equation to show the accuracy and effectiveness properties of the Quarter Sweep Preconditioned Gauss-Seidel (QSPGS) compare Full-Sweep Preconditioned Gauss-Seidel (FSPGS) and Half Sweep Preconditioned Gauss-Seidel (HSPGS) iterative methods. These three parameters were executed on the computer using a program written in C language. For comparison purpose, three parameters will

be considered such as the number of iterations, execution time (in seconds), and maximum absolute error at three different values of $\alpha = 0.25, 0.50,$ and 0.75 . For the implementation of these three iterative schemes, the convergence test considered the tolerance error, which is fixed as $\epsilon = 10^{-10}$. To illustrate the performance of QSPGS iteration method, let us consider the time-fractional initial boundary value problem be given as follows.

Example 1: ([6])

$$\frac{\partial^\alpha u(x, t)}{\partial t^\alpha} = \frac{\partial^2 u(x, t)}{\partial x^2}, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq x \leq \gamma, \quad t > 0, \quad (35)$$

where the boundary conditions are stated in fractional terms

$$u(0, t) = \frac{2kt^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}, \quad u(l, t) = l^2 + \frac{2kt^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}, \quad (36)$$

and the initial condition $u(x, 0) = x^2$. Following Problem (35), as taking $\alpha = 1$, it can be seen that equation (35) can be reduced to the standard diffusion equation

$$\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u(x, t)}{\partial x^2}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq \gamma, \quad t > 0, \quad (37)$$

subject to the initial condition $u(x, 0) = x^2$, and the boundary condition $u(0, t) = 2kt, u(l, t) = l^2 + 2kt$. Then, the analytical solution of equation (37) is obtained as follows:

$$u(x, t) = x^2 + 2kt. \quad (38)$$

Now, by applying the series

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \frac{\partial^n u(x, 0)}{\partial t^n} \frac{t^n}{n!} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{\partial^{mn+i} u(x, 0)}{\partial t^{mn+i}} \frac{t^{n\alpha+i}}{\Gamma(n\alpha+i+1)}, \quad (39)$$

for $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, it can be shown that the analytical solution of equation (35) is given as

$$u(x, t) = x^2 + 2k \frac{t^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}. \quad (40)$$

Example 2: ([6]) Let us consider the following time-fractional initial boundary value problem be defined as

$$\frac{\partial^\alpha u(x, t)}{\partial t^\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} x^2 \frac{\partial^2 u(x, t)}{\partial x^2}, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq x \leq \gamma, \quad t > 0, \quad (41)$$

where the boundary conditions are given as $u(0, t) = 0, u(1, t) = e^t$ and the initial condition $u(x, 0) = x^2$. From equation (41), as taking $\alpha = 1$, it can be shown that equation (41) can also be reduced to the standard diffusion equation

$$\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} x^2 \frac{\partial^2 u(x, t)}{\partial x^2}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq \gamma, t > 0. \quad (42)$$

Then, the analytical solution of equation (42) is obtained as follows:

$$u(x, t) = x^2 e^t. \quad (43)$$

Now, by applying the series

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \frac{\partial^n u(x, 0)}{\partial t^n} \frac{t^n}{n!} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{\partial^{mn+i} u(x, 0)}{\partial t^{mn+i}} \frac{t^{n\alpha+i}}{\Gamma(n\alpha+i+1)}, \quad (44)$$

$u(x, t)$ for $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, it can be shown that the analytical solution of equation (41) is stated as

$$u(x, t) = x^2 [1 + \frac{t^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + \frac{t^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} + \frac{t^{3\alpha}}{\Gamma(3\alpha+1)} + \dots]. \quad (45)$$

All results of numerical experiments for equation (35) and (41), which were obtained from the implementation of FSPGS, HSPGS and QSPGS iterative methods have been recorded in Table 1 until Table 6 at different values of mesh sizes, $m = 128, 256, 512, 1024,$ and 2048 .

7. Conclusion

As a conclusion for the numerical solution of the time-fractional diffusion problems, this paper deals with the implementation of the QSPGS iterative method to solve a linear system generated by the Quarter-Sweep Caputo implicit approximation equations. Through numerical experiments results from such Tables 1, 2 and 3 by comparing the performance between the FSPGS, HSPGS and QSPGS iterative methods at three different values of $\alpha = 0.25, 0.50$ and 0.75 , it can be seen that the percentage reduction of the number of iterations for the QSPGS iterative method has declined approximately by $71.99 - 92.72\%$, $50.71 - 95.53\%$, and $40.41 - 96.45\%$ respectively as compared with the FSPGS and HSPGS method. Implementations of computational time for the QSPGS method are much faster about $69.78 - 98.09\%$, $30.64 - 88.35\%$, and $25.03 - 89.99\%$, respectively, than the FSPGS and HSPGS method. It can be concluded that the QSPGS method involves less number of iterations and computational time as compared with FSPGS and HSPGS methods. According to the accuracy of FSPGS, HSPGS, and QSPGS iterative methods, it can be stated that the numerical solutions of both methods are in good agreement.

Table 1: Numerical result of Example 1 at $\alpha = 0.25$

M	Method	k	time	Max Error
128	FSPGS	7292	35.86	9.96e-05
	HSPGS	1966	5.64	9.96e-05
	QSPGS	528	1.41	9.96e-05
256	FSPGS	26884	261.56	9.98e-05
	HSPGS	7292	37.36	9.96e-05
	QSPGS	1966	5.45	9.96e-05
512	FSPGS	98422	1916.28	1.00e-04
	HSPGS	26884	272.45	9.98e-05
	QSPGS	7292	35.88	9.96e-05
1024	FSPGS	357258	14064.44	1.04e-04
	HSPGS	98422	2025.13	1.04e-04
	QSPGS	26884	267.44	9.98e-05
2048	FSPGS	1183293	4104.17	1.36e-04
	HSPGS	339197	3121.13	1.36e-04
	QSPGS	94141	1120.08	9.98e-05

Table 2: Numerical result of Example 1 at $\alpha = 0.50$

M	Method	k	time	Max Error
128	FSPGS	4715	2.23	9.84e-05
	HSPGS	1270	1.59	9.84e-05
	QSPGS	342	0.98	9.84e-05
256	FSPGS	17417	16.68	9.87e-05
	HSPGS	4715	10.28	9.87e-05
	QSPGS	1270	3.78	9.87e-05
512	FSPGS	63298	123.01	9.96e-05
	HSPGS	17417	95.09	9.87e-05
	QSPGS	4715	23.31	9.87e-05
1024	FSPGS	232784	1007.47	1.03e-05
	HSPGS	63928	893.24	9.95e-05
	QSPGS	17417	171.84	9.87e-05
2048	FSPGS	1150153	3239.84	1.34e-05
	HSPGS	232784	2511.66	1.34e-05
	QSPGS	61246	975.43	9.98e-05

Table 3: Numerical result of Example 1 at $\alpha = 0.75$

M	Method	k	time	Max Error
128	FSPGS	2319	1.93	1.30e-04
	HSPGS	625	1.03	1.30e-04
	QSPGS	170	0.87	1.30e-04
256	FSPGS	8585	12.37	1.30e-04
	HSPGS	2319	8.23	1.30e-04
	QSPGS	625	2.27	1.30e-04
512	FSPGS	31619	62.78	1.31e-04
	HSPGS	8585	46.08	1.31e-04
	QSPGS	2319	11.80	1.31e-04
1024	FSPGS	115617	820.93	1.35e-04
	HSPGS	31619	636.78	1.35e-04
	QSPGS	8585	84.30	1.35e-04
2048	FSPGS	362784	1305.50	1.35e-04
	HSPGS	115627	807.13	1.35e-04
	QSPGS	31691	629.00	1.35e-04

Table 4: Numerical result of Example 2 at $\alpha = 0.25$

M	Method	k	time	Max Error
128	FSPGS	2873	8.48	1.95e-02
	HSPGS	774	5.05	1.95e-02
	QSPGS	209	3.42	1.95e-02
256	FSPGS	10624	96.54	1.95e-02
	HSPGS	2873	19.09	1.95e-02
	QSPGS	774	6.93	1.95e-02
512	FSPGS	39608	648.25	1.95e-02
	HSPGS	10624	108.69	1.95e-02
	QSPGS	2873	18.58	1.95e-02
1024	FSPGS	142635	791.55	1.95e-02
	HSPGS	39068	582.43	1.95e-02
	QSPGS	10624	107.34	1.95e-02
2048	FSPGS	487355	2543.23	1.95e-02
	HSPGS	128676	1326.21	1.95e-02
	QSPGS	36470	275.38	1.95e-02

Table 5: Numerical result of Example 2 at $\alpha = 0.50$

M	Method	k	time	Max Error
128	FSPGS	1398	7.00	8.28e-02
	HSPGS	378	5.11	8.28e-02
	QSPGS	104	3.29	8.28e-02
256	FSPGS	5162	35.69	8.29e-02
	HSPGS	1398	12.12	8.29e-02
	QSPGS	378	6.06	8.28e-02
512	FSPGS	18957	277.23	8.29e-02
	HSPGS	5162	57.19	8.29e-02
	QSPGS	1398	11.90	8.29e-02
1024	FSPGS	69108	492.97	8.29e-02
	HSPGS	38957	390.80	8.30e-02
	QSPGS	5162	56.40	8.30e-02
2048	FSPGS	240051	1781.32	8.29e-02
	HSPGS	67817	920.14	8.30e-02
	QSPGS	19430	252.12	8.28e-02

Table 6: Numerical result of Example 2 at $\alpha = 0.75$

M	Method	k	time	Max Error
128	FSPGS	655	4.44	1.37e-01
	HSPGS	178	2.59	1.37e-01
	QSPGS	50	1.20	1.37e-01
256	FSPGS	2420	15.95	1.37e-01
	HSPGS	655	8.50	1.37e-01
	QSPGS	178	5.56	1.37e-01
512	FSPGS	8911	184.75	1.37e-01
	HSPGS	2420	30.08	1.37e-01
	QSPGS	655	8.36	1.37e-01
1024	FSPGS	32602	420.11	1.37e-01
	HSPGS	8911	189.50	1.37e-01
	QSPGS	2420	29.49	1.37e-01
2048	FSPGS	116801	951.53	1.37e-01
	HSPGS	33318	511.32	1.37e-01
	QSPGS	8911	188.66	1.37e-01

References

- [1] A.R. Abdullah, The Four Point Explicit Decoupled Group (EDG) method: a fast Poisson solver, *Int. J. Comput. Math.*, **38**, No 1-2 (1991), 61–70.
- [2] O.P. Agrawal, Solution for a fractional diffusion-wave equation defined in a bounded domain, *Nonlinear Dynam.*, **29** (2002), 145–155.
- [3] A. Chaves, Fractional diffusion equation to describe Levy flight, *Phys. Lett. A*, **239**, No 1-2 (1998), 13–16.
- [4] G. Cheng, T. Huang, X. Cheng, Preconditioned Gauss-Seidel type iterative method for solving linear systems, *Appl. Math. Mech.*, **27**, No 9 (2006), 1275–1279.
- [5] J.V.L. Chew, J. Sulaiman, On quarter-sweep finite difference scheme for one-dimensional porous medium equations, *Int. J. Appl. Math.*, **33**, No 3 (2020), 439–450.
- [6] A. Demir, S. Erman, B. Özgür, E. Korkmaz, Analysis of fractional partial differential equations by Taylor series expansion, *Bound. Value Probl.*, **2013**, No 1 (2013), 68–80.
- [7] K. Diethelm, A.D. Freed, On the solution of nonlinear fractional order differential equation used in the modeling of viscoelasticity, In: *Scientific Computing in Chemical engineering II Computational Fluid Dynamic, Reaction Engineering and Molecular Properties*, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg (1999), 217–224.
- [8] D.J. Evans, Group explicit iterative methods for solving large linear systems, *Int. J. Comput. Math.*, **17**, No 1 (1985), 81–108.
- [9] A.D. Gunawardena, S.K. Jain, L. Snyder, Modified iterative methods for consistent linear systems, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **154–156** (1991), 123–143.
- [10] W. Hackbusch, *Iterative Solution of Large Sparse Systems of Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1995).
- [11] A. Ibrahim, A.R. Abdullah, Solving the two dimensional diffusion equation by the Four Point Explicit Decoupled Group (EDG) iterative method, *Int. J. Comput. Math.*, **58**, No 3-4 (1995), 253–256.
- [12] T. Kohno, Improving the modified Gauss-Seidel method for Z-matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **267**, No 1-3 (1997), 113–123.

- [13] T.A.M. Langlands, B.I. Henry, The accuracy and stability of an implicit solution method for the fractional diffusion equation, *J. Comput. Phys.*, **205**, No 2 (2005), 719–736.
- [14] F. Mainardi, *Fractals and Fractional Calculus Continuum Mechanics*, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg (1997).
- [15] M.M. Meerschaert, C. Tadjeran, Finite difference approximation for fractional advection-dispersion flow equations, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **172**, No 1 (2004), 145–155.
- [16] M. Othman, A.R. Abdullah, An efficient Four Points Modified Explicit Group Poisson solver, *Int. J. Comput. Math.*, **76**, No 2 (2000), 203–217.
- [17] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*, Academic Press, 1999.
- [18] R.D. Richtmyer, K.W. Morton, *Difference Methods for Initial-Value Problems*, Interscience Publishers, New York (1967).
- [19] Y. Saad, *Iterative Method for Sparse Linear Systems*, International Thomas Publishing, Boston (1996).
- [20] D.M. Young, *Iterative Solution of Large Linear Systems*, Academic Press, London (1971).
- [21] W.S. Yousif, D.J. Evans, Explicit Group iterative methods for solving elliptic partial differential equations in 3-space dimensions, *Int. J. Comput. Math.*, **18**, No 3-4 (1986), 323–340.
- [22] S.B. Yuste, L. Acedo, An explicit finite difference method and a new von Neumann-type stability analysis for fractional diffusion equations, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **42**, No 5 (2005), 1862–1874.
- [23] S.B. Yuste, Weighted average finite difference method for fractional diffusion equations, *J. Comp. Phys.*, **216** (2006), 264–274.
- [24] Y. Zhang, A finite difference method for fractional partial differential equation, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **215** (2009), 524–529.

Artikel

ORIGINALITY REPORT

21 %
SIMILARITY INDEX

13 %
INTERNET SOURCES

18 %
PUBLICATIONS

10 %
STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

- | | | |
|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| 1 | Submitted to Higher Education Commission Pakistan
Student Paper | 1 % |
| 2 | iopscience.iop.org
Internet Source | 1 % |
| 3 | dokumen.pub
Internet Source | 1 % |
| 4 | Submitted to University of Mustansiriyah
Student Paper | 1 % |
| 5 | Minling Zheng, Fawang Liu, Ian Turner, Vo Anh. "A Novel High Order Space-Time Spectral Method for the Time Fractional Fokker--Planck Equation", SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing, 2015
Publication | 1 % |
| 6 | Submitted to Christ Junior College
Student Paper | 1 % |
| 7 | Submitted to Middle East Technical University
Student Paper | 1 % |
| 8 | Tareq Uz Zaman, Scott MacLachlan, M. Enamul Hossain. "Numerical modeling of a | 1 % |

memory-based diffusivity equation and determination of its fractional order value", Computational Geosciences, 2020

Publication

9	www.m-hikari.com Internet Source	1 %
10	Submitted to Visvesvaraya National Institute of Technology Student Paper	1 %
11	Shahid S. Siddiqi, Saima Arshed. "Numerical solution of time-fractional fourth-order partial differential equations", International Journal of Computer Mathematics, 2014 Publication	1 %
12	www.fspublishers.org Internet Source	1 %
13	Balasin, Alla Tareq, and Norhashidah Hj. Mohd. Ali. "A Rotated Crank-Nicolson Iterative Method For The Solution of Two-Dimensional Time-Fractional Diffusion Equation", Indian Journal of Science and Technology, 2015. Publication	<1 %
14	Momani, S.. "Analytical approximate solution for fractional heat-like and wave-like equations with variable coefficients using the decomposition method", Applied Mathematics and Computation, 20050615 Publication	<1 %

15 N. A. M. Ali, J. Sulaiman, A. Saudi, N. S. Mohamad. "Chapter 42 The Similarity Finite Difference Solutions for Two-Dimensional Parabolic Partial Differential Equations via SOR Iteration", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2021
Publication <1 %

16 www.academiaromana.ro
Internet Source <1 %

17 Mohana Sundaram Muthuvalu, Jumat Sulaiman. "Half-Sweep Arithmetic Mean method with composite trapezoidal scheme for solving linear Fredholm integral equations", Applied Mathematics and Computation, 2011
Publication <1 %

18 Submitted to Sim University
Student Paper <1 %

19 covenantuniversity.edu.ng
Internet Source <1 %

20 mafiadoc.com
Internet Source <1 %

21 Fathalla A. Rihan. "Chapter 9 Dynamics of Salmonella Infection", IntechOpen, 2017
Publication <1 %

22 Submitted to University of Witwatersrand
Student Paper <1 %

23

Internet Source

<1 %

24

ijopcm.org

Internet Source

<1 %

25

Langlands, T.A.M.. "The accuracy and stability of an implicit solution method for the fractional diffusion equation", *Journal of Computational Physics*, 20050520

Publication

<1 %

26

Submitted to Universiti Teknologi MARA

Student Paper

<1 %

27

Xianjuan Li, Chuanju Xu. "A Space-Time Spectral Method for the Time Fractional Diffusion Equation", *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 2009

Publication

<1 %

28

Sousa, E.. "Numerical approximations for fractional diffusion equations via splines", *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 201108

Publication

<1 %

29

lematematiche.dmi.unict.it

Internet Source

<1 %

30

F. A. Rihan, M. Sheek-Hussein, A. Tridane, R. Yafia. "Dynamics of Hepatitis C Virus Infection: Mathematical Modeling and Parameter Estimation", *Mathematical Modelling of Natural Phenomena*, 2017

Publication

<1 %

31 Liu, Qingbing, Jian Huang, and Shouzhen Zeng. "Convergence analysis of the two preconditioned iterative methods for M-matrix linear systems", Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 2015.
Publication <1 %

32 einspem.upm.edu.my
Internet Source <1 %

33 www.engr.uky.edu
Internet Source <1 %

34 Hong-Xia Ge. "Element-free Galerkin (EFG) method for analysis of the time-fractional partial differential equations", Chinese Physics B, 01/2012
Publication <1 %

35 arxiv.org
Internet Source <1 %

36 core.ac.uk
Internet Source <1 %

37 epubs.siam.org
Internet Source <1 %

38 www.doria.fi
Internet Source <1 %

39 www.ijpam.eu
Internet Source <1 %

40 D.J. Evans, G.M. Megson. "Compact systolic arrays for incomplete matrix factorisation methods", International Journal of Computer Mathematics, 1988 $<1\%$

Publication

41 Diego A. Murio. "Stable numerical evaluation of Grünwald–Letnikov fractional derivatives applied to a fractional IHCP", Inverse Problems in Science and Engineering, 2009 $<1\%$

Publication

42 Gepreel, Khaled A., and Mohamed S. Mohamed. "Analytical approximate solution for nonlinear space-time fractional Klein-Gordon equation", Chinese Physics B, 2013. $<1\%$

Publication

43 Michio Sakakihara, Munenori Morimoto, Hiroshi Niki, Naotaka Okamoto. "Preconditioned Iterative Methods for Linear Equations Arising from the Boundary Element Method", International Journal of Computational Fluid Dynamics, 2004 $<1\%$

Publication

44 Mollig R, Y.. "Variational iteration method for fractional heat- and wave-like equations", Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications, 200906 $<1\%$

Publication

- | | | |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| 45 | Naoto Kunitomo, Seisho Sato, Daisuke Kurisu. "Separating Information Maximum Likelihood Method for High-Frequency Financial Data", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2018
Publication | <1 % |
| 46 | Odibat, Z.M.. "Approximate solutions for boundary value problems of time-fractional wave equation", Applied Mathematics and Computation, 20061001
Publication | <1 % |
| 47 | Qingbing Liu, Guoliang Chen. "Convergence Analysis of Preconditioned AOR Iterative Method for Linear Systems", Mathematical Problems in Engineering, 2010
Publication | <1 % |
| 48 | Submitted to SASTRA University
Student Paper | <1 % |
| 49 | volunteerlecturerprogram.com
Internet Source | <1 % |
| 50 | worldwidescience.org
Internet Source | <1 % |
| 51 | www.springerprofessional.de
Internet Source | <1 % |
| 52 | Hulya Kodal Sevindir, Ali Demir. "The Solution of Initial Boundary Value Problem with Time and Space-Fractional Diffusion | <1 % |

Equation via a Novel Inner Product", Advances in Mathematical Physics, 2018

Publication

53

J. Sulaiman. "Red-Black EDGSOR Iterative Method Using Triangle Element Approximation for 2D Poisson Equations", Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2007

Publication

<1 %

54

Mohd Kamalrulzaman Md Akhir. "The Four Point-EDGMSOR Iterative Method for Solution of 2D Helmholtz Equations", Communications in Computer and Information Science, 2011

Publication

<1 %

55

Hu, X.. "Implicit compact difference schemes for the fractional cable equation", Applied Mathematical Modelling, 201209

Publication

<1 %

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On