Solving One-Dimensional Porous Medium Equation Using Unconditionally Stable Half-Sweep Finite Difference and SOR Method

by Jackel Chew

Submission date: 07-Sep-2021 01:56PM (UTC+0800)
Submission ID: 1642877620
File name: ICCEMIS2020_-_5010_final.docx (64.42K)
Word count: 3071
Character count: 17669

Solving one-dimensional Porous Medium Equation using unconditionally stable Half-Sweep finite difference and SOR method

Jackel Chew Vui Lung[1], Jumat Sulaiman[2] and Andang Sunarto[3]

[1]Faculty of Computing and Informatics, Universiti Malaysia Sabah Labuan International Campus, 87000, Labuan F.T. Malaysia,
[2]Faculty of Science and Natural Resources, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, 88400 Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, Malaysia,
[3]IAIN Bengkulu, Indonesia, Jl Raden Fatah Kota Bengkulu, Bengkulu, Indonesia,
Corresponding addresses
jackelchew93@ums.edu.my, jumat@ums.edu.my, andang99@gmail.com

***Abstract*:** Porous medium equation is a nonlinear parabolic partial differential equation that presents in many physical occurrences. The solutions of the porous medium equation are important to facilitate the investigation on the nonlinear processes involving fluid flow, heat transfer, diffusion of gas-particle or population dynamics. As part of the development of a family of efficient iterative methods to solve the porous medium equation, the Half-Sweep technique is adopted. The works of literature about the application of Half-Sweep to successfully approximate the solutions of several types of mathematical problems is become the motivation to the present paper. This paper aims to solve the one-dimensional porous medium equation efficiently by incorporating the Half-Sweep technique in the formulation of the unconditionally stable implicit finite difference scheme. The noticeable unique property of Half-Sweep is its ability to secure a low computational complexity in computing for numerical solutions. Throughout this paper, from the application of Half-Sweep finite difference scheme on the general porous medium equation until the formulation of a nonlinear approximation function is shown. This paper uses the Newton method to linearize the formulated Half-Sweep finite difference approximation so that the linear system in the form of a matrix can be constructed. Then, the Successive Over Relaxation method with a single parameter is applied to solve the generated linear system per time step efficiently. Next, to evaluate the efficiency of the developed method that can be known as Half-Sweep Newton Successive Over Relaxation (HSNSOR) method, the criteria such as the number of iterations, the program execution time and the magnitude of absolute errors are observed. From the collected numerical results, the finding of this paper shows that the numerical solutions obtained by the HSNSOR are as accurate as of the Half-Sweep Newton Gauss-Seidel (HSNGS), which is under the same family of Half-Sweep iterations, and the benchmark, Newton-Gauss-Seidel (NGS) method. The improvement in the numerical results produced by the HSNSOR is significant, that requires a lesser number of iterations and shorter time of program execution, compared to HSNGS and NGS methods.

***Keywords*:** One-dimensional porous medium equation, Half-Sweep, finite difference method, Newton, Successive Over Relaxation, iterative method.

1. Introduction

Porous medium equation (PME) is a nonlinear parabolic partial differential equation that exists in many nonlinear physical occurrences. For instance, PME is a general equation that brings up the Boussinesq equation that is used to model the groundwater flow. PME is also used to describe the flow of ideal gas in a homogeneous porous medium which is formulated by the laws such as mass balance, Darcy's law and state equation. In addition to that, PME is an important equation to be solved for a better understanding of the theory of heat propagation, particularly involving temperature-dependent thermal conductivity [1].

From the application of PME side, [2] have analyzed the heat transfer through human tissues and found that the transport theory of porous media can be applied into the biological heat transfer as the theory reduces the number of assumptions when compared to other existing biological heat models. Then, [3] studied the qualitative properties of the PME in order to describe the dispersal processes in the dynamics of living things. The author found that the PME can be used to improve the qualitative as well as the quantitative agreement of population dynamics models. PME, without doubt, has great importance in many scientific fields and more details about the theory and application of PME can be referred to [1].

The solutions of several one-dimensional PME problems via the finite difference method has been studied by many researchers [4-9]. As part of the development of a family of efficient iterative methods to solve the PME, this research adopted the Half-Sweep technique in the formulation of the finite difference method. Several researchers have discussed the success of the Half-Sweep technique in approximating the solutions of several types of mathematical problems [10-16]. Hence, motivated by the unique property of Half-Sweep in securing a low computational complexity while computing the numerical solutions, this paper aims to solve the one-dimensional PME using the unconditionally stable Half-Sweep finite difference approximation.

For this particular nonlinear type partial difference equation, the finite difference discretization through the implementation of Half-Sweep yields a nonlinear type approximation equation. Before the solution of PME is computed, the formulated nonlinear approximation equation is linearized using the Newton method to form a sparse and large linear system. Then, for an efficient solution to a generated linear system, Successive Over Relaxation or SOR iterative method with optimum parameters is applied.

2. Half-Sweep Finite Difference Method

Let us consider the general form of the one-dimensional PME [17]:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(u^m \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right), u(x,t), 0 \le x, t \le 1, \quad (1)$$

where ρ and m are assumed as any rational number. It is worth to mention that Eq. (1) can exist for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < t < \infty$. For our numerical study, we attempt to investigate the numerical solution of Eq. (1) in a rectangular domain and subject to the boundary and initial conditions as follows:

$$u(0,t) = g_0(t), u(1,t) = g_1(t), u(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad (2)$$

where $g_0(t), g_1(t)$ and $u_0(x)$ are the prescribed functions based on the provided exact solutions.

Before we show the formulation of Half-Sweep finite difference approximation to Eq. (1), it is best to discuss the formulation of the standard implicit finite difference approximation to Eq. (1) because our proposed method is based on the implicit finite difference method. Now, by defining the approximate solutions to Eq. (1), $U_{p,j} = U(p\Delta x, j\Delta t)$, $p = 0,1,2,\ldots,M-1, j = 0,1,2,\ldots T$ and both spatial and temporal steps are $\Delta x = 1/M$ and $\Delta t = 1/T$ respectively, the standard implicit finite difference approximation equation to Eq. (1) can be written as [7]

$$\begin{aligned} &U_{p,j+1} - \alpha U_{p,j+1}^m U_{p+1,j+1} + 2\alpha U_{p,j+1}^{m+1} - \alpha U_{p,j+1}^m U_{p-1,j+1} \\ &-\beta m U_{p,j+1}^{m-1} U_{p+1,j+1}^2 + 2\beta m U_{p,j+1}^{m-1} U_{p+1,j+1} U_{p-1,j+1} \\ &-\beta m U_{p,j+1}^{m-1} U_{p-1,j+1}^2 = U_{p,j}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $\alpha = \frac{\rho \Delta t}{\Delta x^2}$, $\beta = \frac{\alpha}{4}$, $p = 1,2,\ldots,M-1$ and $j = 0,1,2,\ldots,T$.

The approximation equation shown in Eq. (3) can also be known as Full-Sweep finite difference approximation equation because it approximates all mesh points in a bounded domain. Hence, Eq. (3) can be extended to develop our Half-Sweep finite difference approximation equation by lengthening the distance between two consecutive mesh points from Δx to $2\Delta x$ as follows [8],

$$\begin{aligned} &U_{p,j+1} - \alpha U_{p,j+1}^m U_{p+2,j+1} + 2\alpha U_{p,j+1}^{m+1} - \alpha U_{p,j+1}^m U_{p-2,j+1} \\ &-\beta m U_{p,j+1}^{m-1} U_{p+2,j+1}^2 + 2\beta m U_{p,j+1}^{m-1} U_{p+2,j+1} U_{p-2,j+1} \\ &-\beta m U_{p,j+1}^{m-1} U_{p-2,j+1}^2 = U_{p,j}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $\alpha = \frac{\rho \Delta t}{4\Delta x^2}$, $\beta = \frac{\alpha}{4}$, $p = 2,4,\ldots,M-2$ and $j = 0,1,2,\ldots,T$.

The approximation equation (4) is proven to be unconditionally stable, and the proof is at the appendix.

Using Eq. (4), we may get a nonlinear system for time level $j+1$ that has the form of

$$F_{j+1} = 0, \quad (5)$$

where $F_{j+1} = \left(f_{2,j+1}, f_{4,j+1}, \ldots, f_{M-2,j+1}\right)^T$ and for each function,

$$\begin{aligned} &U_{p,j+1} - \alpha U_{p,j+1}^m U_{p+2,j+1} + 2\alpha U_{p,j+1}^{m+1} - \alpha U_{p,j+1}^m U_{p-2,j+1} \\ &-\beta m U_{p,j+1}^{m-1} U_{p+2,j+1}^2 + 2\beta m U_{p,j+1}^{m-1} U_{p+2,j+1} U_{p-2,j+1} \\ &-\beta m U_{p,j+1}^{m-1} U_{p-2,j+1}^2 - U_{p,j} = f_{p,j+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Since solving the nonlinear system (5) deals with great computational cost, we use Newton method to linearize the nonlinear system (5) then apply the SOR iterative method to obtain the solution. Using the Newton method, the linear system can be written as

$$A_{j+1}^{(k)} \underline{U'}_{j+1}^{(k)} = -F_{j+1}^{(k)}, \quad (7)$$

where

$$A_{j+1}^{(k)} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_{2,j+1}}{\partial U_{2,j+1}} & \frac{\partial f_{2,j+1}}{\partial U_{4,j+1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{2,j+1}}{\partial U_{M-2,j+1}} \\ \frac{\partial f_{4,j+1}}{\partial U_{2,j+1}} & \frac{\partial f_{4,j+1}}{\partial U_{4,j+1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{4,j+1}}{\partial U_{M-2,j+1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_{M-2,j+1}}{\partial U_{2,j+1}} & \frac{\partial f_{M-2,j+1}}{\partial U_{4,j+1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{M-2,j+1}}{\partial U_{M-2,j+1}} \end{bmatrix}^{(k)},$$

$$\underline{U'}_{j+1}^{(k)} = \begin{bmatrix} U'_{2,j+1} \\ U'_{4,j+1} \\ U'_{M-2,j+1} \end{bmatrix}^{(k)}, \text{ and } F_{j+1}^{(k)} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{2,j+1} \\ f_{4,j+1} \\ \vdots \\ f_{M-2,j+1} \end{bmatrix}^{(k)}.$$

The approximate solutions to Eq. (1) are computed by

$$\underline{U}_{j+1}^{(k+1)} = \underline{U}_{j+1}^{(k)} + \underline{U'}_{j+1}^{(k)}, \quad (8)$$

where $\underline{U}_{j+1}^{(k)} = \left(U_{2,j+1}^{(k)}, U_{4,j+1}^{(k)}, \ldots, U_{M-2,j+1}^{(k)}\right)^T$.

3. HSNSOR Iterative Method

Based on the linear system (7), we find out that the coefficient matrix $A_{j+1}^{(k)}$ has the form of tridiagonal. Thus, to apply the SOR iterative method for solving the linear system (7) [18, 19], we consider the three components decomposition of $A_{j+1}^{(k)}$ as follows,

$$A_{j+1}^{(k)} = D_{j+1}^{(k)} - L_{j+1}^{(k)} - V_{j+1}^{(k)}, \quad (9)$$

where $D_{j+1}^{(k)}$ is the diagonal of the matrix, $L_{j+1}^{(k)}$ is the strictly lower triangular matrix, and $V_{j+1}^{(k)}$ is the strictly upper triangular matrix, at the time level $j+1$ and k-th iteration.

Hence, using the linear system (7) and the decomposition (9), the proposed method that we call the HSNSOR can be derived into

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{U'}_{j+1}^{(k+1)} &= (1-\omega)\underline{U'}_{j+1}^{(k)} \\ &+\omega\left(D_{j+1}^{(k)} - L_{j+1}^{(k)}\right)^{-1}\left(V_{j+1}^{(k)}\underline{U'}_{j+1}^{(k)} - F_{j+1}^{(k)}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Based on the formula shown in (10), the relaxation parameter lies within $1 < \omega < 2$. When $\omega = 1$, the formula can be known as the HSNGS [8].



Figure 1. HSNSOR on the finite mesh points $M = 16$

By referring to Figure 1, the implementation of HSNSOR method for solving Eq. (1) can be explained as follows. After the boundary and initial conditions are imposed on the solution domain, the HSNSOR approximate the solutions on all the interior mesh points that are labelled by black dots, i.e. 2, 4, …, 14. After the iteration process completed and the values of the black dots are obtained, the remaining mesh points that are labelled by white dots, i.e. 1, 3, …, 15 are computed directly using the approximation equation. The full algorithm for the computation using the HSNSOR method is described in Algorithm 1 below.

Algorithm 1. HSNSOR iterative method

i. At time level j, define $g_0(t), g_1(t)$ and $u_0(x)$,
ii. Initialize the value of ω, $\underline{U}_{j+1}^{(k)} = 1.0$, and $\underline{U'}_{j+1}^{(k)} = 0$,
iii. Set up the linear system (7),
iv. Iterate the formula (10),

v. Check the convergence $\left|\underline{U'}_{j+1}^{(k+1)} - \underline{U'}_{j+1}^{(k)}\right| < 10^{-10}$. If the correctors converge, compute (8) and then the remaining mesh points,

vi. Check the convergence for all mesh points using $\left|F_{j+1}^{(k+1)} - F_{j+1}^{(k)}\right| < 10^{-10}$. If the solutions converge, to $j+1$.

For practice, the optimum value of ω is determin (±0.01) by running Algorithm 1 several times, and the one that gives the least number of iterations will be selected as optimum.

4. Stability Analysis of the Half-Sweep Finite Difference Method on the One-Dimensional Porous Medium Equation

The application of Fourier anal to prove the stability of the applied finite-difference on nonlinear partial differential equation (like PME) cannot be rigorously justified. Nevertheless, it is practically effective [20].

Assuming the solution $u(x,t)$ exists within the region of $0 < x,t \leq 1$. Also, "freeze" the nonlinear term u^m at each mesh point in the same region and let it be a constant μ. Eq. (1) can be rewritten into

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\mu \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right) = \rho\mu \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}, \tag{11}$$

The Half-Sweep finite difference method that is used to discretize Eq. (11) can be defined as

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = D_{-t}U_{p,j+1} = \frac{U_{p,j+1} - U_{p,j}}{\Delta t}, \tag{12}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = D_{+2x}D_{-2x}U_{p,j+1} = \frac{U_{p+2,j+1} - 2U_{p,j+1} + U_{p-2,j+1}}{4(\Delta x)^2}. \tag{13}$$

Using Eq. (12) nd (13) to discretize Eq. (11) gives

$$U_{p,j+1} - \lambda\left(U_{p+2,j+1} - 2U_{p,j+1} + U_{p-2,j+1}\right) = U_{p,j}, \tag{14}$$

where $\lambda = \rho\mu(\Delta t)/4(\Delta x)^2$.

By applying the von Neumann method which is

$$U_{p,j} = \xi^j e^{p\theta i}, \tag{15}$$

Eq. (14) can be transformed into

$$\xi\left(1 - \lambda\left(e^{2\theta i} - 2 + e^{-2\theta i}\right)\right) = 1. \tag{16}$$

Since $e^{2\theta i} - 2 + e^{-2\theta i} = -4\,sin^2\,\theta$, Eq. (16) can be further rewritten into

$$\xi = \frac{1}{1 + 4\lambda\,sin^2\,\theta}. \tag{17}$$

Based on Eq. (17), we have $\xi \leq 1$ for all positive values of λ and $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$. Hence, the Half-Sweep finite difference approximation is proven to be unconditionally stable. □

5. Numerical Experiment

For the numerical experiment, several criteria are observed such as the number of iterations (k), the program execution time (seconds) and the magnitude of absolute errors (ε_{max}). These cri ia are used to evaluate the efficiency of the HSNSOR method to solve Eq. (1) subjects both initial and boundary conditions as in Eq. (2). The efficiency of the HSNSOR method is then compared to the HSNGS and NGS [21] methods using four selected examples. Following are the four examples used for the numerical experiment.

Example 1 [17]
Given a one-dimensional PME with m equals to 1:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right), \tag{18}$$

and the exact solution $u(x,t) = C_1 x + C_1^2 t + C_2$ with the arbitrary constants C_1 and C_2. This experiment uses $C_1 = 1$ and $C_2 = 0$.

Example 2 [17]
Given a one-dimensional PME with m equals to -1 and the parameter ρ is 0.5:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = 0.5 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(u^{-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right). \tag{19}$$

The exact solution is $u(x,t) = (C_1 x - 0.5C_1^2 t + C_2)^{-1}$, and this experiment uses $C_1 = 0.6$ and $C_2 = 1.3$.

Example 3 [22]
Given a one-dimensional PME with m equals to 2:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(u^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right), \tag{20}$$

and the exact solution $u(x,t) = (x+1)\left(2\sqrt{C^2 - t}\right)^{-1}$ has a condition $t < C^2$. For the experiment, we use $C = 2$.

Example 4 [22]
Given a one-dimensional PME with m equals to -2 and the parameter ρ is 0.5:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = 0.5 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(u^{-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right). \tag{21}$$

The exact solution is $u(x,t) = (2C_1 x - c_1^2 t + C_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and we use $C_1 = 0.35$ and $C_2 = 1.35$.

Numerical outputs collected from the implementation of the HSNSOR, HSNGS and NGS methods on the four examples are tabulated in Table 1 until 4. Table 1 until 4 shows the comparis between the three implemented methods based on the number of iterations (k), the program execution time (seconds) and the magnitude of absolute errors (ε_{max}) with five different sizes of m n points, M. Also, Table 5 is used to show the percentages of reduction in the number of iterations and the program execution time by the HSNSOR and HSNGS against the control method, NGS.

Table 1. The numerical result of Example 1

M	Method (ω)	k	seconds	ε_{max}
64	NGS	3835	2.38	2.76×10^{-8}
	HSNGS	1065	0.16	6.16×10^{-9}
	HSNSOR (1.59)	269	0.14	1.84×10^{-10}
128	NGS	13678	7.50	1.22×10^{-7}
	HSNGS	3835	0.86	2.75×10^{-8}
	HSNSOR (1.77)	562	0.32	1.19×10^{-10}
256	NGS	48395	38.58	5.33×10^{-7}
	HSNGS	13678	5.62	1.22×10^{-7}
	HSNSOR (1.87)	1142	1.13	2.09×10^{-10}
512	NGS	169693	252.94	2.10×10^{-6}
	HSNGS	48395	38.22	5.33×10^{-7}
	HSNSOR (1.93)	2328	3.68	3.19×10^{-10}
1024	NGS	587031	1712.49	7.62×10^{-6}
	HSNGS	169693	274.28	2.10×10^{-6}
	HSNSOR (1.97)	4942	17.25	9.10×10^{-11}

Table 2. The numerical result of Example 2

M	Method (ω)	k	seconds	ε_{max}
64	NGS	1720	1.13	2.03×10^{-5}
	HSNGS	489	0.20	2.03×10^{-5}
	HSNSOR (1.48)	186	0.15	2.03×10^{-5}
128	NGS	6034	4.06	2.02×10^{-5}
	HSNGS	1720	1.07	2.03×10^{-5}
	HSNSOR (1.69)	375	0.35	2.03×10^{-5}
256	NGS	20907	27.03	2.00×10^{-5}
	HSNGS	6034	6.45	2.02×10^{-5}
	HSNSOR (1.83)	745	1.16	2.03×10^{-5}
512	NGS	71385	287.34	1.93×10^{-5}
	HSNGS	20907	43.75	2.00×10^{-5}
	HSNSOR (1.91)	1464	3.78	2.03×10^{-5}
1024	NGS	239975	1741.01	1.72×10^{-5}
	HSNGS	71385	304.92	1.93×10^{-5}
	HSNSOR (1.95)	3044	13.95	2.03×10^{-5}

Table 3. The numerical result of Example 3

M	Method (ω)	k	seconds	ε_{max}
64	NGS	1344	1.17	8.39×10^{-5}
	HSNGS	386	0.17	8.38×10^{-5}
	HSNSOR (1.52)	231	0.15	8.38×10^{-5}
128	NGS	4824	2.84	8.39×10^{-5}
	HSNGS	1344	0.75	8.39×10^{-5}
	HSNSOR (1.73)	461	0.38	8.39×10^{-5}
256	NGS	17308	20.03	8.39×10^{-5}
	HSNGS	4824	4.71	8.39×10^{-5}
	HSNSOR (1.85)	908	1.25	8.39×10^{-5}
512	NGS	61658	270.11	8.40×10^{-5}
	HSNGS	17308	33.05	8.39×10^{-5}
	HSNSOR (1.92)	1784	4.25	8.39×10^{-5}
1024	NGS	218147	2008.35	8.43×10^{-5}
	HSNGS	61658	227.65	8.40×10^{-5}
	HSNSOR (1.96)	3490	15.77	8.39×10^{-5}

Table 4. The numerical result of Example 4

M	Method (ω)	k	seconds	ε_{max}
64	NGS	2015	1.26	2.88×10^{-6}
	HSNGS	562	0.23	2.65×10^{-6}
	HSNSOR (1.50)	205	0.16	2.66×10^{-6}
128	NGS	7082	4.90	2.90×10^{-6}
	HSNGS	2015	1.23	2.88×10^{-6}
	HSNSOR (1.70)	420	0.36	2.90×10^{-6}
256	NGS	24325	45.42	2.71×10^{-6}
	HSNGS	7082	7.37	2.90×10^{-6}
	HSNSOR (1.84)	837	1.29	2.96×10^{-6}
512	NGS	81729	354.79	1.86×10^{-6}
	HSNGS	24325	50.33	2.71×10^{-6}
	HSNSOR (1.92)	1706	4.18	2.97×10^{-6}
1024	NGS	265698	2293.23	3.33×10^{-6}
	HSNGS	81729	332.37	1.86×10^{-6}
	HSNSOR (1.96)	3381	14.79	2.98×10^{-6}

Table 5. Percentages of reduction in the number of iterations and the program execution time by HSNSOR and HSNGS

Example	Iterative Method	k (%)	seconds (%)
1	HSNSOR	92.99-99.16	94.12-98.99
	HSNGS	71.09-72.23	83.98-93.28
2	HSNSOR	89.19-98.73	86.73-99.20
	HSNGS	70.25-71.57	73.65-84.77
3	HSNSOR	82.81-98.40	86.62-99.21
	HSNGS	71.28-72.14	73.59-88.66
4	HSNSOR	89.83-98.73	87.30-99.36
	HSNGS	69.24-72.11	74.90-85.81

6. Conclusion

In conclusion, we have successfully derived and implemented the HSNSOR method for solving linearized systems formed by considering several mesh points and the Half-Sweep implicit finite difference approximation equation. From the tabulated numerical result, it showed that the HSNSOR method has successfully reduced the number of iterations approximately by 82.81% - 99.16% and the program execution time approximately by 86.62% - 99.36% in solving the one-dimensional PME when compared to the NGS method, see in Table 5. The significant improvement is attributed to the usage of the optimum values of ω for the SOR iterative method. Another reason is that the application of Half-Sweep contributes to the reduction of computational complexity. Overall, all methods have a good agreement in term of accuracy.

References

[1] J. L. Vazquez, The Porous Medium Equation: Mathematical Theory, *Oxford University Press*, New York, 2007.

[2] A. R. A. Khaled, K. Vafai, "The role of porous media in modelling flow and heat transfer in biological tissues," *Int. J. Heat Mass Tran.*, vol. 46, pp. 4989-5003, 2003.

[3] T. P. Witelski, "Segregation and mixing in degenerate diffusion in population dynamics," *J. Math. Biol.*, vol. 35, pp. 695-712, 1997.

[4] D. Hoff, "A linearly implicit finite-difference scheme for the one-dimensional porous medium equation," *Math. Comput.*, vol. 45, no. 171, pp. 23–33, 1985.

[5] M. Sari, "Solution of the Porous Media Equation by a Compact Finite Difference Method," *Math. Probl. Eng.*, vol. 2009, pp. 1–13, 2009.

[6] C. H. Cho, "On the finite difference approximation for blow-up solutions of the porous medium equation with a source," *Appl. Numer. Math.*, vol. 65, pp. 1–26, 2013.

[7] J. V. L. Chew, J. Sulaiman, "Implicit solution of 1D nonlinear porous medium equation using the four-point Newton-EGMSOR iterative method," *J. Appl. Math. Comput. Mech.*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 11-21, 2016.

[8] J. V. L. Chew, J. Sulaiman, "Half-sweep Newton-Gauss-Seidel for implicit finite difference solution of 1D nonlinear porous medium equations," *Glob. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 2745-2752, 2016.

[9] A. M. Saeed, "A New Version of the Accelerated FRFD Method for Solving Time-Fractional Diffusion Equations", *J. Comput. Sci. Comput. Math.*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 55-57, 2018.

[10] A. R. Abdullah, "The four point Explicit Decoupled Group (EDG) method: a fast Poisson solver," *Int. J. Comput. Math.*, vol. 38, pp. 61-70, 1991.

[11] J. Sulaiman, A. Sunarto, M. K. Hasan, M. Othman, "Comparative Analysis of The Family Of Newton-HSSOR Iterative Methods For The Solution Of Nonlinear Second-Order Two point Boundary Value

Problems," *Journal Kalam*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 18-23, 2016.

[12] M. N. Suardi, N. Z. Radzuan, J. Sulaiman, "Cubic B-spline Solution of Two-point Boundary Value Problem Using HSKSOR Iteration," *Glob. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, vol. 13, no. 11, pp. 7921-7934, 2017.

[13] M. S. Muthuvalu, J. Sulaiman, "Numerical performance of Half-Sweep Geometric Mean (HSGM) iterative method for solving third order Newton–Cotes quadrature system," *Lobachevskii J. Math.*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 73–81, 2017.

[14] A. Sunarto, J. Sulaiman, "Solving Space-Fractional Diffusion Equations By Using HSSOR Method," *Far East J. Appl. Math.*, vol. 97, no. 4, pp. 159–169, 2017.

[15] A. Saudi, J. Sulaiman, "Indoor Path Planning using Harmonic Functions via Half-Sweep Arithmetic Mean Method," *Int. J. Comput. Digit. Syst.*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 523–528, 2019.

[16] F. A. Muhiddin, J. Sulaiman, A. Sunarto, "Grünwald Implicit Solution of One-Dimensional Time-Fractional Parabolic Equations Using HSKSOR Iteration," *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.*, vol. 1489, article no. 012025, 2020.

[17] A. D. Polyanin, V. F. Zaitsev, Handbook of Nonlinear Partial Differential Equation, *Chapman and Hall*, Boca Raton, 2004.

[18] Y. Saad, Iterative methods for sparse linear systems, 2nd ed., *Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics*, Philadelphia, 2003.

[19] D. M. Young, "Iterative methods for solving partial difference equations of elliptic type," *T. Am. Math. Soc.*, vol. 76, pp. 92-111, 1954.

[20] R. D. Richtmyer, K. W. Morton, Difference methods for initial-value problems, 2nd ed., *John Wiley and Sons*, New York, 1967.

[21] J. M. Ortega, W. C. Rheinboldt, "Monotone Iterations for Nonlinear Equations with Application To Gauss-Seidel Methods," *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 171–190, 1967.

[22] A. M. Wazwaz, "The variational iteration method: a powerful scheme for handling linear and nonlinear diffusion equations," *Comput. Math. Appl.*, vol. 54, pp. 933-939, 2007.

Solving One-Dimensional Porous Medium Equation Using Unconditionally Stable Half-Sweep Finite Difference and SOR Method

ORIGINALITY REPORT

17%	11%	13%	4%
SIMILARITY INDEX	INTERNET SOURCES	PUBLICATIONS	STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	Saudi Azali. "Indoor Path Planning using Harmonic Functions via Half-Sweep Arithmetic Mean Method", International Journal of Computing and Digital Systems, 2019 Publication	2%
2	www.ripublication.com Internet Source	1%
3	"Computational Science and Technology", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2021 Publication	1%
4	Submitted to University of Malaya Student Paper	1%
5	www.sciencegate.app Internet Source	1%
6	Yiqi Gu, Jie Shen. "Bound preserving and energy dissipative schemes for porous	1%

medium equation", Journal of Computational Physics, 2020
Publication

7	A Sunarto, P Agarwal, J V L Chew, H Justine, J Sulaiman. "QSSOR and cubic non-polynomial spline method for the solution of two-point boundary value problems", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2021 Publication	1 %
8	A.V. Pesterev, B. Yang, L.A. Bergman, C.A. Tan. "Revisiting the moving force problem", Journal of Sound and Vibration, 2003 Publication	1 %
9	Elayaraja Aruchunan, Jackel Vui Lung Chew, Mohana Sundaram Muthuvalu, Andang Sunarto, Jumat Sulaiman. "A Newton-Modified Weighted Arithmetic Mean Solution of Nonlinear Porous Medium Type Equations", Symmetry, 2021 Publication	1 %
10	diogenes.bg Internet Source	1 %
11	Jackel Chew Vui Lung, Jumat Sulaiman, Andang Sunarto. "The application of successive overrelaxation method for the solution of linearized half-sweep finite difference approximation to two-dimensional porous medium equation", IOP Conference	1 %

Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 2021
Publication

12	www.uam.es Internet Source	1 %
13	export.arxiv.org Internet Source	1 %
14	F A Muhiddin, J Sulaiman, A Sunarto. "Grünwald Implicit Solution of One-Dimensional Time-Fractional Parabolic Equations Using HSKSOR Iteration", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020 Publication	<1 %
15	Submitted to Universiti Teknologi MARA Student Paper	<1 %
16	"International Conference on Mathematical Sciences and Statistics 2013", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2014 Publication	<1 %
17	J. Sulaiman, M. K. Hasan, M. Othman, S.A.A. Karim. "Chapter 29 Implicit Finite Difference Solutions of One-Dimensional Burgers' Equation Using Newton–HSSOR Method", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2014 Publication	<1 %

jamcm.pcz.pl

18	Internet Source	<1%
19	Chew Jackel Vui Lung, Jumat Sulaiman. "An unconditionally stable implicit difference scheme for 2D porous medium equations using four-point NEGMSOR iterative method", ITM Web of Conferences, 2018 Publication	<1%
20	Tuvi Etzion. "Optimal constant weight codes over Zk and generalized designs", Discrete Mathematics, 1997 Publication	<1%
21	www.ijettjournal.org Internet Source	<1%
22	Zakariah Aris, Nordin Saad, A'qilah Ahmad Dahalan, Andang Sunarto, Azali Saudi. "Modified iterative method with red-black ordering for image composition using poisson equation", Bulletin of Electrical Engineering and Informatics, 2021 Publication	<1%
23	ftp.math.utah.edu Internet Source	<1%
24	scitecresearch.com Internet Source	<1%
25	www.hindawi.com Internet Source	<1%

26	C.-C.J. Kuo, B.C. Levy. "Discretization and solution of elliptic PDEs-a digital signal processing approach", Proceedings of the IEEE, 1990 Publication	<1 %
27	amcm.pcz.pl Internet Source	<1 %
28	J. Sulaiman. "The Half-Sweep Iterative Alternating Decomposition Explicit (HSIADE) Method for Diffusion Equation", Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2004 Publication	<1 %
29	"Computational Science and Technology", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2020 Publication	<1 %
30	Jia Wu, , and Chunyun Xu. "Estimation of spread spectrum parameters using fourth-order statistic for a DSSS signal", China-Ireland International Conference on Information and Communications Technologies (CIICT 2007), 2007. Publication	<1 %
31	Serdal Pamuk. "A Review of Some Recent Results for the Approximate Analytical Solutions of Nonlinear Differential Equations", Mathematical Problems in Engineering, 2009 Publication	<1 %

Exclude quotes	Off	Exclude matches	Off
Exclude bibliography	On		